# DIOXINS

# **MEDITEXT ® - Medical Management**

## **Document Outline**

0.0 OVERVIEW 1.0 SUBSTANCES INCLUDED/SYNONYMS 3.0 CLINICAL EFFECTS 4.0 MEDICAL SURVEILLANCE/LABORATORY 5.0 CASE REPORTS 6.0 TREATMENT 7.0 RANGE OF TOXICITY 8.0 KINETICS 9.0 PHARMACOLOGY/TOXICOLOGY 10.0 STANDARDS/LABELS 11.0 PHYSICOCHEMICAL 12.0 REFERENCES

# **0.0 OVERVIEW**

## **0.1 LIFE SUPPORT**

A) This overview assumes that basic life support measures have been instituted.

## **0.2 CLINICAL EFFECTS**

## **0.2.1 SUMMARY OF EXPOSURE**

#### **0.2.1.1 ACUTE EXPOSURE**

A) Exposure to dioxins can cause a burning sensation in the eyes, nose, and throat. Headache, dizziness, blurred vision, muscle and joint pain, impaired muscle coordination, asthenia, nausea, vomiting, emotional disorders, nervousness, irritability, and intolerance to cold may all occur. Chloracne, an acne-like eruption of the skin, commonly occurs. Symptoms (itching, swelling, redness) may occur weeks or months before the eruptions appear and may last a few months or up to 15 years.

B) Dioxin exposure can cause immune system dysfunction, ulcers, peripheral neuropathy, and abnormalities of the liver, pancreas, and circulatory and respiratory systems.

C) CAVEATS - Dioxins occur as contaminants, and nearly all exposures are to mixtures containing very low levels. In such cases there is always a possibility that other components may contribute to the toxicity.

1) In many studies the relative composition of the mixture may not have been known; these studies have uncertainty with respect to QUALITATIVE exposures. Many studies also have uncertainty with respect to QUANTITATIVE exposure, or dose.

2) Some studies, such as a long-term follow-up study of Operation Ranch Hand Vietnam War Veterans, exposed to high levels of dioxins as contaminants in Agent Orange during spraying operations between 1962 and 1971, were done so long after exposure that it is difficult or impossible to determine an accurate exposure assessment based on either historical or analytical data.

D) There are no known cases of human fatalities from acute exposure to dioxins. Most acute exposures to dioxins (tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin, TCDD) occur during runaway chemical reactions. Acute early signs and symptoms include chemical burns of the skin, irritation of the mucous membranes and eyes, nausea, vomiting and severe muscle pains.

1) After a latent period of several weeks, chloracne, porphyria cutanea tarda, hirsutism and/or hyperpigmentation may occur. Polyneuropathies and liver damage are frequently noted. Increased blood lipids are common and may persist.

E) TCDD is characterized by EPA as a human carcinogen. It has been most strongly linked with soft-tissue sarcomas. More limited evidence indicates associations with several other cancers. A US EPA reassessment put the upper limit for overall cancer risk for the general population as high as 1:100 to 1:1,000.

F) Dioxins may be human teratogens, specifically for ectodermal dysplasia and CNS, cardiac and skeletal defects.

#### **0.2.1.2 CHRONIC EXPOSURE**

A) Little is known about potential human health effects (if any) of long-term exposure to low concentrations. The US EPA considers dioxin (TCDD) to be probably carcinogenic to humans (Group B2). IARC classifies TCDD as Group 1 (carcinogenic to humans), but places other dioxins in Group 3 (not classifiable as to their carcinogenicity to humans).

#### **0.2.4 HEENT**

#### **0.2.4.1 ACUTE EXPOSURE**

A) Conjunctivitis, irritation and burning may be noted.

#### **0.2.5 CARDIOVASCULAR**

#### **0.2.5.1 ACUTE EXPOSURE**

A) Cardiovascular disorders such as atherosclerosis and myocardial infarction have been suggested but not conclusively shown to be related to TCDD exposure.

#### **0.2.6 RESPIRATORY**

#### **0.2.6.1 ACUTE EXPOSURE**

A) Dyspnea may be noted.

#### **0.2.7 NEUROLOGIC**

#### 0.2.7.1 ACUTE EXPOSURE

A) Peripheral neuropathy, with sensory impairment and lower extremity weakness, central neuropathy, mental status changes, headache and dizziness occur after exposure. Mild exposure may result in asymptomatic EMG alterations.

#### **0.2.8 GASTROINTESTINAL**

#### 0.2.8.1 ACUTE EXPOSURE

A) Right-upper-quadrant pain, anorexia, nausea and vomiting may be early symptoms. Pancreatic injury occurred in one case of industrial exposure.

#### **0.2.9 HEPATIC**

## **0.2.9.1 ACUTE EXPOSURE**

A) Enzyme induction is prominent with both acute and chronic exposures. Moderate acute exposures may manifest with increased liver function tests, mild fibrosis, fatty liver changes and hepatomegaly.

#### **0.2.10 GENITOURINARY**

#### 0.2.10.1 ACUTE EXPOSURE

A) Urinary tract disorders may be a consequence of exposure. Self-limited hemorrhagic cystitis has been reported. Based on current evidence, no link between dioxin exposure and endometriosis could be found.

## 0.2.13 HEMATOLOGIC

#### 0.2.13.1 ACUTE EXPOSURE

A) Prothrombin time prolongation has been noted rarely, in conjunction with liver damage.

#### 0.2.14 DERMATOLOGIC

## **0.2.14.1 ACUTE EXPOSURE**

A) The initial dermal reaction is extensive inflammation of exposed areas with photosensitivity, followed by development of chloracne.

1) Chloracne is considered a sensitive indicator of dioxin exposure; however, some patients seem to be resistant.

2) Chloracne consists of pale yellow cysts mostly on the skin of the face but spreading to other areas as time progresses. Erythema, edema, hirsutism and photosensitivity may occur.

3) Chloracne clears or persists for several months in mild cases, whereas in severe cases it may persist for 30 years or longer.

B) Porphyria cutanea tarda may occur in moderate or severe exposures. The involvement of TCDD in its development has been disputed.

## 0.2.15 MUSCULOSKELETAL

#### 0.2.15.1 ACUTE EXPOSURE

A) Myalgia is common in acute occupational exposure.

#### **0.2.16 ENDOCRINE**

#### 0.2.16.1 ACUTE EXPOSURE

A) Dioxins affect various hormone systems. Abnormal glucose tolerance tests and diabetes mellitus have been notes after exposure to dioxins.

#### 0.2.16.2 CHRONIC EXPOSURE

A) Changes in glucose tolerance have been seen with chronic occupational TCDD exposure.

#### 0.2.17 METABOLISM

## **0.2.17.1 ACUTE EXPOSURE**

A) TCDD induces cytochrome P450-1A1 and P450-1A2; the degree of toxicity is related to the extent of enzyme induction. The liver is the main site of induction, but other tissues may also be involved.

B) Fat and carbohydrate metabolism are affected by dioxin exposure. Hyperlipidemia and hypercholesterolemia have been described after acute exposure. Porphyria has also been reported, but a direct causal link to dioxin is unlikely.

#### **0.2.18 PSYCHIATRIC**

#### 0.2.18.1 ACUTE EXPOSURE

A) Fatigue, emotional disorders, irritability and nervousness have been noted after exposure to dioxins.

#### **0.2.18.2 CHRONIC EXPOSURE**

A) Post-traumatic stress disorder was inconclusively associated with Agent Orange exposure in some Vietnam veterans.

#### **0.2.19 IMMUNOLOGIC**

#### **0.2.19.1 ACUTE EXPOSURE**

A) Dioxins are considered immunotoxic by some sources, although results are conflicting.

#### **0.2.20 REPRODUCTIVE HAZARDS**

A) Dioxins have not been proven to produce adverse reproductive effects in humans. However, low birthweights, ectodermal dysplasia, and growth and neurological deficits have been associated with dioxin exposure. Data on spontaneous abortions, decreased sperm quality and feminizing alterations of sex hormones have been mixed. TCDD accumulates in breast milk, and neurological deficits and increases in T4 and TSH have been associated with lactational exposure. TCDD is considered an animal teratogen.

B) The US EPA has been re-evaluating the health effects of dioxins. In its current report version ("Draft Final" of May 2000), it is concluded that TCDD is a likely developmental and reproductive toxin.

#### **0.2.21 CARCINOGENICITY**

## 0.2.21.1 IARC CATEGORY

A) IARC Carcinogenicity Ratings for CAS1746-01-6 (IARC, 2004):

- 1) IARC Classification
- a) Listed as: 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-para-dioxin
- b) Carcinogen Rating: 1

1) The agent (mixture) is carcinogenic to humans. The exposure circumstance entails exposures that are carcinogenic to humans. This category is used when there is sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in humans. Exceptionally, an agent (mixture) may be placed in this category when evidence of carcinogenicity in humans is less than sufficient but there is sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in experimental animals and strong evidence in exposed humans that the agent (mixture) acts through a relevant mechanism of carcinogenicity.

## 0.2.21.2 HUMAN OVERVIEW

A) Dioxins are probable human carcinogens; TCDD is a known human carcinogen. Results are conflicting regarding increased overall cancer morbidity and mortality, and for an association with soft tissue sarcomas, non-Hodgkin and Hodgkin lymphoma. There is limited evidence of an association with myeloma and pulmonary, prostate, gastric and breast carcinoma. The upper limit for overall risk in the general population may be as high as 1:1,000.

#### 0.2.21.3 ANIMAL OVERVIEW

A) TCDD is the most potent known animal carcinogen and tumor promoter.

#### **0.2.22 GENOTOXICITY**

A) TCDD is not directly genotoxic and usually produces negative results in most genotoxicity assays. However, the TCDD-aryl hydrocarbon receptor complex can bind to specific DNA enhancer sequences. This induces a pleiotropic sequence of genetic expression whose products may activate pro-mutagens.

## **0.2.23 OTHER**

#### 0.2.23.1 ACUTE EXPOSURE

A) Cachexia may occur as a result of exposure to TCDD.

## 0.3 MEDICAL SURVEILLANCE/LABORATORY

A) It is difficult and expensive to measure dioxins in human tissue specimens. The current analytical techniques involve gas chromatography and mass spectrometry.B) Liver function tests, CBC, prothrombin time (INR - International Normalized Ratio), serum lipids and uroporphyrins should be obtained in acute exposure. Electrodiagnostic studies such as EMG and nerve conduction velocity may be useful in detecting subclinical neuropathy.

#### **0.4 TREATMENT OVERVIEW**

#### **0.4.2 ORAL EXPOSURE**

A) Most exposures are chronic. Routine gastrointestinal decontamination is not indicated.

## **0.5 RANGE OF TOXICITY**

A) Cumulative oral doses of 100 mcg/kg are estimated to be the minimum toxic dose.

B) Dermal exposure to soil concentrations of greater than 100 ppm are likely to produce chloracne.

# 1.0 SUBSTANCES INCLUDED/SYNONYMS

# **1.1 THERAPEUTIC/TOXIC CLASS**

A) Dibenzo-p-dioxins

# **1.2 SPECIFIC SUBSTANCES**

A) CONSTITUENTS OF THE GROUP
1. 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin
2. TCDD
3. TCDBD
4. CAS 1746-01-6

# 1.2.1 MOLECULAR FORMULA

1. C12-H4-Cl4-O2

# **1.3 IDENTIFIERS**

# **1.3.1 CAS REGISTRY NUMBER**

A) 1746-01-6 (Dioxine)

# **1.3.2 NIOSH/RTECS NUMBER**

A) HP3500000

# **1.3.5 DESIGNATIONS**

A) BEILSTEIN REFERENCE NUMBER: 5-19-02-00041; BRN 0271116

# **1.3.6 MOLECULAR FORMULA**

A) C12-H4-Cl4-O2

## **1.6 PREVENTION OF CONTAMINATION**

## A) INDUSTRIAL CHEMICALS

1) TCDD is a confirmed carcinogen and a deadly experimental poison by ingestion and dermal contact. It is toxic by inhalation and is an eye irritant. Exposure should be avoided (Lewis, 2000; NIOSH , 2002).

## 1.7 USES/FORMS/SOURCES

## A) FORMS

1) Dioxins are substituted dioxanes that are extremely toxic by-products of the manufacture of many chemicals (Lewis, 1998). The class of dibenzo-para-dioxins includes 75 isomers (Bingham et al, 2001).

2) In 1983, the EPA canceled registration and prohibited the transfer, distribution, sale, or importation of these compounds. However, stockpiles exist and may be used in limited amounts. 2,4-Dichlorophenol does NOT contain dioxins, although TCDD may be a contaminant of some preparations (Baselt, 1997; NIOSH, 1984).

#### B) SOURCES

1) Dioxins are widespread in the environment (Bingham et al, 2001). They do not occur naturally; thus, they are present as a result of man-made (industrial) synthesis (Baxter et al, 2000; ILO, 1998; ATSDR, 1998). Environmental levels peaked around 1970 and have been

decreasing since then, mainly because of changes in industrial processes and environmental regulation banning their use (Bingham et al, 2001; EPA, 1994a). Industrial emissions in the year 2002 are expected to be reduced by more than 90 percent from their levels in the 1980's ((EPA, 2000a)).

2) Dioxins are released into the environment through incineration and combustion, chemical manufacturing processes, processes involving chlorine bleaching or municipal sludge, and recirculation of environmental reservoirs (EPA, 1994a; EPA, 1994b; Johnson, 1995). At present, a significant route of exposure is through the atmospheric fallout of particles and gases contaminated with TCDD (Bingham et al, 2001).

3) Dioxins may be formed during the manufacture of hexachlorophene from 2,4,5trichlorophenol, pentachlorophenol fungicides and wood preservatives, and from burning pentachlorophenol- or 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid-treated wood. Municipal and medical wastes containing phenol and hydrogen chloride, when burned, can generate dioxins (Eklund et al, 1986).

4) 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) is the most toxic of the 75 dioxins (Lewis, 2000). It is formed as a by-product during many industrial, thermal, photochemical and biochemical processes, in the production of certain chlorinated benzene compounds and polychlorinated phenols (Baxter et al, 2000; Bingham et al, 2001).

5) It is also a toxic by-product and a contaminant of defoliant herbicides such as the once widely used 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid, contained in Agent Orange (Baxter et al, 2000). Formulations of the defoliant 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4,5-T) and 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D), commonly known as Agent Orange, used in the Vietnam war contained from 0.1 to 30 ppm TCDD (Baselt, 2000).

6) TCDD is also produced during the incineration of hospital and municipal wastes, toxic wastes, with gasoline and other fossil-fuel combustion, in smelters and in paper and pulp bleaching, and it is in wood preservatives (Baxter et al, 2000; Bingham et al, 2001; (EPA, 2000b)). It is considered by some to be the most toxic synthetic compound known (Baxter et al, 2000).

7) Dioxins are also present in cigarette smoke. The total concentration of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins was approximately 5 mcg/m(3), corresponding to a TEQ of 1.81 ng/m(3). Smoking 20 cigarettes per day would account for an intake of approximately 4.3 pg/kg/day (Muto & Takizawa, 1989).

8) Exposure to TCDD has decreased since the U.S. EPA banned the use of herbicides containing 2,4,5-T in the late 1970s (NTP, 1998).

C) USES

1) Dioxins have no intended commercial use and are not produced intentionally; exposure is through their presence as a by-product or contaminant of certain defoliant herbicides (Baxter et al, 2000; Freeman, 1998) NTP, 1998; (Sittig, 1991). However, 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) is produced in small quantities for use as a research chemical (Bingham et al, 2001).

2) TCDD has been tested for use in flameproofing polyester materials and against insects and wood-destroying fungi (NTP, 2000). There is no evidence that these purposes were ever exploited commercially (ATSDR, 1998).

## **1.10 SYNONYM EXPLANATION**

A) When discussing dioxins, literature often does not distinguish between dibenzo-p-dioxins as a class of compounds and an individual isomer. When information specific to an isomer was given in the literature, that information is included within this document; however, when the terms dioxin or dioxins are used in this document, the assumption should be made that there was no clarification made in the literature reference or that the data refer to a mixture of isomers.

B) The majority of data available regard the isomer 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. The abbreviation TCDD is often used to refer to this isomer. When the term TCDD is employed in this document, the information refers to the 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin isomer or a sum of the TCDD isomers.

# **3.0 CLINICAL EFFECTS**

# **3.1 SUMMARY OF EXPOSURE**

## **3.1.1 ACUTE EXPOSURE**

A) Exposure to dioxins can cause a burning sensation in the eyes, nose, and throat. Headache, dizziness, blurred vision, muscle and joint pain, impaired muscle coordination, asthenia, nausea, vomiting, emotional disorders, nervousness, irritability, and intolerance to cold may all occur. Chloracne, an acne-like eruption of the skin, commonly occurs. Symptoms (itching, swelling, redness) may occur weeks or months before the eruptions appear and may last a few months or up to 15 years.

B) Dioxin exposure can cause immune system dysfunction, ulcers, peripheral neuropathy, and abnormalities of the liver, pancreas, and circulatory and respiratory systems.

C) CAVEATS - Dioxins occur as contaminants, and nearly all exposures are to mixtures containing very low levels. In such cases there is always a possibility that other components may contribute to the toxicity.

1) In many studies the relative composition of the mixture may not have been known; these studies have uncertainty with respect to QUALITATIVE exposures. Many studies also have uncertainty with respect to QUANTITATIVE exposure, or dose.

2) Some studies, such as a long-term follow-up study of Operation Ranch Hand Vietnam War Veterans, exposed to high levels of dioxins as contaminants in Agent Orange during spraying operations between 1962 and 1971, were done so long after exposure that it is difficult or impossible to determine an accurate exposure assessment based on either historical or analytical data.

D) There are no known cases of human fatalities from acute exposure to dioxins. Most acute exposures to dioxins (tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin, TCDD) occur during runaway chemical reactions. Acute early signs and symptoms include chemical burns of the skin, irritation of the mucous membranes and eyes, nausea, vomiting and severe muscle pains.

1) After a latent period of several weeks, chloracne, porphyria cutanea tarda, hirsutism and/or hyperpigmentation may occur. Polyneuropathies and liver damage are frequently noted. Increased blood lipids are common and may persist.

E) TCDD is characterized by EPA as a human carcinogen. It has been most strongly linked with soft-tissue sarcomas. More limited evidence indicates associations with several other cancers. A US EPA reassessment put the upper limit for overall cancer risk for the general population as high as 1:100 to 1:1,000.

F) Dioxins may be human teratogens, specifically for ectodermal dysplasia and CNS, cardiac and skeletal defects.

## **3.1.2 CHRONIC EXPOSURE**

A) Little is known about potential human health effects (if any) of long-term exposure to low concentrations. The US EPA considers dioxin (TCDD) to be probably carcinogenic to humans (Group B2). IARC classifies TCDD as Group 1 (carcinogenic to humans), but places other dioxins in Group 3 (not classifiable as to their carcinogenicity to humans).

#### **3.4 HEENT**

## 3.4.2 EYES

A) CONJUNCTIVITIS

1) Initial exposure may be accompanied by a burning irritation of the eye and

blepharoconjunctivitis (Sittig, 1991; Zenz, 1994).

B) VISION ABNORMAL

1) Exposure may also result in blurred vision (Sittig, 1991).

## 3.4.4 NOSE

A) IRRITATION

1) Inhalation exposure can cause a burning feeling in the nose and throat (Sittig, 1991).

#### **3.5 CARDIOVASCULAR**

#### **3.5.1 ACUTE EFFECTS**

#### A) CORONARY ATHEROSCLEROSIS

1) A 15-year follow-up of the Vietnam veterans involved in Operation Ranch Hand, who were exposed to high levels of dioxins as contaminants in Agent Orange during spraying operations between 1962 and 1971, showed an increased number of deaths from diseases of the circulatory system among personnel exposed to the highest levels of dioxin, mainly from atherosclerotic heart disease (Michalek et al, 1998a).

2) A study of 5,132 US chemical workers, extending an IARC study in 1997, showed a weak increasing trend for heart disease with higher exposure to TCDD (Steenland et al, 1999).

3) Cardiovascular disorders are a consequence of exposure to TCDD (ILO, 1998). Indeed, the heart may be one of the main target organs for TCDD toxicity; decreased betaadrenergic responsiveness and increased intracellular calcium concentrations were found in guinea pig hearts after exposure (Hayes & Laws, 1991).

4) Advanced progressive atherosclerosis was described in a heavily exposed worker (Pazderova-Vejlupkova et al, 1981). A link between ischemic heart disease and TCDD exposure has been suggested, but not conclusively proven.

5) CASE SERIES - A mortality study of 58 workers showed increased coronary artery disease over the expected US average, but this was not statistically significant (Moses et al, 1984).

#### **B) MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION**

1) CASE SERIES - In a 20-year follow-up of 69 workers who developed chloracne due to TCDD exposure, an association between chloracne and death due to myocardial infarction was noted (Dalerupp & Zellenrath, 1983).

2) CASE SERIES - An apparent excess of deaths from myocardial infarction was reported among members of a dioxin-exposed clean-up crew (Moses et al, 1984).

## **3.6 RESPIRATORY**

## **3.6.1 ACUTE EFFECTS**

#### A) DYSPNEA

1) Respiratory tract disorders are a consequence of exposure to TCDD (ILO, 1998). Dyspnea may be noted.

#### **3.7 NEUROLOGIC**

# **3.7.1 ACUTE EFFECTS**

#### A) NEUROPATHY

1) Peripheral neuropathy, with sensory impairment, as well as central neuropathy, with lassitude, weakness, impotence and loss of libido, result from exposure to dioxin (Baxter et al, 2000; ILO, 1998). Neuritis and polyneuropathy have occurred after dermal exposure to 2,4-dichlorophenol, with incomplete recovery (Baselt, 1997).

2) Polyneuropathy with sensory impairment and lower extremity weakness is a consistent finding in industrial exposure cases. In mild exposure, asymptomatic alteration in EMG and nerve conduction velocity studies may occur. In severe exposures, about one-third of patients have developed neuropathies (Dunagin, 1984).

3) CASE SERIES - Peripheral neuropathy was reported in 43 of 45 workers involved in a spill of a mixture containing a small amount of dioxin (45 to 46 ppb of TCDD) (Klawans, 1987). The contribution of the main components (phenol and chlorophenol) is unclear.
4) CASE SERIES - Dose-dependent subclinical peripheral neuropathy, defined by the presence of at least 2 bilateral signs or 1 abnormal electrophysiological endpoint, was seen in a group of 152 persons, who also had chloracne, 6 years after the Seveso accident, in which the blowout of a 2,4,5-trichlorophenol production reactor released TCDD over large area in Seveso, Italy, on 10 July 1976 (Barbieri et al, 1988; Schardein, 2000).
B) DYSTONIA

 CASE REPORT - Action dystonias of the hands were reported in 24 of 45 workers involved in the spill of a mixture containing a small amount of dioxin (45 to 46 ppb of TCDD) (Klawans, 1987). The involvement of dioxin is unsubstantiated.
 C) HEADACHE

1) Acute exposure by inhalation can produce headache and dizziness (Sittig, 1991).

2) Headaches were reported in a chemist acutely exposed to dioxins (Schecter & Ryan, 1992).

D) ABNORMAL MENTAL STATE

1) A reduction of cognitive performance in verbal conceptualization, amnestic organization of verbal and visual stimuli, psychomotor slowing, and subjective complaints such as irritability have been seen on neurologic examination (Peper et al, 1993).

## **3.7.3 ANIMAL STUDIES**

A) ANIMAL STUDIES

1) NEUROPATHY

a) Polyneuropathy was induced in rats by a single IP dose of 2.2 to 6.6 mcg/kg (Grehl et al, 1993).

## **3.8 GASTROINTESTINAL**

## **3.8.1 ACUTE EFFECTS**

#### A) NAUSEA AND VOMITING

1) Right-upper-quadrant pain, anorexia, nausea and vomiting have occurred after exposure, but a direct causal link has not been proven (Bingham et al, 2001; Sittig, 1991).

2) Nausea and vomiting may be early symptoms of exposure. However, industrial accidents have involved exposure to other chemicals, and it is likely that these symptoms are not related to TCDD (Young AL, Calcagni JA & Thalken CE et al, 1978).

**B) DISEASE OF PANCREAS** 

1) Pancreatic disorders may be a consequence of exposure to TCDD (ILO, 1998).

2) CASE REPORT - A 57-year-old welder was exposed to dioxins while heating a bearing of an autoclave stirrer. Four days later, he developed acute dermatologic and neurologic

symptoms. Within the next 9 months, he was hospitalized twice with enlargement of his liver and pancreas; a large mass of tissue was identified in the right upper quadrant of the abdomen and symptoms of an acute inflammatory process developed. He died approximately 9 months after initial exposure. Pancreatic necrosis, perforation of the stomach and duodenal bulb, liver abscess and chloracne of the trunk were noted on autopsy (Theiss et al, 1982).

# **3.9 HEPATIC**

## **3.9.1 ACUTE EFFECTS**

## A) CIRRHOSIS OF LIVER

 A 15-year followup of the Vietnam veterans involved in Operation Ranch Hand, who were exposed to high levels of dioxins as contaminants in Agent Orange during spraying operations between 1962 and 1971, showed an increased number of deaths from digestive diseases, mainly chronic liver disease and cirrhosis (Michalek et al, 1998a).
 B) LIVER DAMAGE

1) An enlarged liver with impaired function is related to TCDD toxicity (ILO, 1998). Indeed, hepatotoxicity is the most consistent finding in acute occupational exposures, and is manifested by increased liver enzyme activities, mild fibrosis, fatty changes, hemofuscin deposition, parenchymal cell degeneration, and hepatomegaly. In severe exposures, about one-third of patients develop liver damage (Dunagin, 1984).

#### C) LIVER ENZYMES ABNORMAL

1) Increased serum transaminase levels occur with TCDD toxicity (ILO, 1998). Enzyme induction is prominent in acute and chronic exposure.

D) GAMMA-GLUTAMYL TRANSFERASE RAISED

1) Workers exposed to dioxins who also consume alcohol seem to have a significantly increased risk for an increased serum GGT level. The risk increases with dioxin levels (Calvert et al, 1992).

2) Children exposed in the Seveso accident (in which the blowout of a 2,4,5-trichlorophenol production reactor released TCDD over large area near Seveso, Italy, on 10 July 1976) had increased GGT as well as increased AST and ALT; adults had increased DGA. Clinical evidence of liver disease, however, was lacking (Baxter et al, 2000; Bingham et al, 2001; Schardein, 2000).

## **3.10 GENITOURINARY**

# **3.10.1 ACUTE EFFECTS**

A) URINARY TRACT FINDING

1) Urinary tract disorders may be a consequence of exposure to TCDD (ILO, 1998). B) HEMORRHAGIC CYSTITIS

1) CASE REPORT - Hemorrhagic cystitis was reported in a 6-year-old girl chronically exposed to TCDD-containing soil in a horse arena sprayed with contaminated oil. Symptoms resolved within 3 to 4 days (Beale et al, 1977).

C) PORPHYRIA DUE TO TOXIC EFFECT OF SUBSTANCE

1) WITH POISONING/EXPOSURE

a) CASE SERIES - In 11 of 29 patients with dioxin-induced chloracne, urinary uroporphyrins were increased. Concomitant signs and symptoms included 'cola-colored' urine, hyperpigmentation (limited to sun-exposed areas), skin fragility, and hypertrichosis (Bleiberg et al, 1964). After 6 years, none had clinical porphyria and only one had persistent uroporphyrinuria (Poland et al, 1971). b) The contribution of dioxin was later disputed; hexachlorobenzene was speculated to be the causative agent in some cases (Jones & Chelsky, 1986).

D) LACK OF EFFECT

1) WITH POISONING/EXPOSURE

a) ENDOMETRIOSIS

1) Guo (2004) concluded that based on a critical review of the available literature of both primate and human epidemiologic data, there is no consistent and credible evidence between dioxin exposure and endometriosis. The author suggested further well designed studies with adequate sample size and scientific rigor (Guo, 2004).

2) CASE SERIES - Based on a population-based study in Belgium, 257 subjects (142 women and 115 men) were potentially exposed to environmental dioxins and PCBs. Subjects were obtained from five regions within Belgium, which included living near an iron and steel plant or around a waste dumping site; individuals potentially occupationally exposed to the chemicals were excluded. There was no association between endometriosis and dioxin or PCB exposure as assessed by serum concentrations (Fierens et al, 2003).

## **3.13 HEMATOLOGIC**

# **3.13.1 ACUTE EFFECTS**

A) PROTHROMBIN TIME LOW

1) PROTHROMBIN TIME PROLONGATION has been noted rarely and may be related to liver damage (Zack & Suskind, 1980).

## **3.14 DERMATOLOGIC**

## **3.14.1 ACUTE EFFECTS**

A) CHLORINE ACNE

1) Around 85 to 100 percent of patients with substantial signs of dioxin toxicity also have chloracne; chloracne is considered the most sensitive indicator of dioxin exposure (Dunagin, 1984).

a) However, a small group of people do not manifest chloracne (Pazderova-Vejlupkova et al, 1981). Dioxin toxicity without chloracne has been reported in several instances (Beale et al, 1977; Kimbrough et al, 1977; Oliver, 1975). In a retrospective study, 24 percent of workers classified as heavily exposed did not have a history of chloracne (Moses et al, 1984).

b) Development of chloracne was related to both intensity and cumulative exposure to tetra-, hexa-, and octachlorinated dioxins in an occupational cohort (Bond et al, 1989b).

c) Lesions may occur in relatives of workers through contact with work clothes, tools, or personal contact.

2) The first sign of reaction of exposed skin is extensive inflammation, resembling lupus erythematosis or erythema elevatum diutinum; photosensitivity may be more or less pronounced (Schulz, 1977).

a) Chloracne consists of an eruption of blackheads with small, pale-yellow cysts on the skin of the face, especially the malar crescent of the eyes (ILO, 1998). Hands and feet are usually spared. Subsequently, the upper chest, back and extremities may become involved. The genitalia may be involved, for males (Hayes & Laws, 1991).

b) Chloracne may be considered a refractory follicular dermatosis (Raffle, 1994). The follicular hyperkeratosis of chloracne (with or without cysts and pustules) affects nearly every follicle in involved areas with no intervening normal skin (Hayes & Laws, 1991). c) Erythema, edema, hirsutism and photosensitivity resulting in eventual hyperpigmentation may occur, although chloracne does occur alone (Hayes & Laws, 1991).

3) Chloracne may appear 2 months after the greatest exposure (Baselt, 2000). However, it usually appears 2 to 4 weeks after initial TCDD contact. A delay in onset of 2 to 3 months post-exposure was reported in some men in an industrial accident involving 79 cases of chloracne (May, 1973).

a) Chloracne is usually slowly reversible, subsiding within 1.5 years; it persists for several months in mild cases; severe cases may persist for 30 years or longer (Baselt, 2000; Hayes & Laws, 1991; Moses et al, 1984). Scarring can complicate healing (Hayes, 1982).

b) CASE SERIES - Children and adolescents exposed during the Seveso accident, in which the blowout of a 2,4,5-trichlorophenol production reactor released TCDD over a large area near Seveso, Italy, on 10 July 1976, did not show acneigenesis 2 years after exposure, but atropic scars remained (Caputo et al, 1988; Schardein, 2000).

c) CASE SERIES - Chloracne had cleared after 7 years in all but 1 of 193 persons exposed in the Seveso accident (Assennato et al, 1989).

4) A grading system for chloracne has been developed by the European Economic Community (EEC), as follows (Moses et al, 1984):

a) Grade 1 - no change

b) Grade 2 - few comedones in specific sites only

c) Grade 3 - more comedones in specific sites, no cysts

d) Grade 4 - numerous comedones in specific sites with cysts

e) Grade 5 - numerous comedones, cysts in specific and other sites

f) Grade 6 - the same as grade 4, with inflammatory changes

B) PORPHYRIA CUTANEA TARDA

1) PORPHYRIA CUTANEA TARDA is associated with exposure to TCDD, but only in genetically predisposed individuals (ILO, 1998; IOM, 1993).

2) CASE SERIES - In 11 of 29 patients with dioxin-induced chloracne, urinary uroporphyrins were increased. Concomitant signs and symptoms included 'cola-colored' urine, hyperpigmentation (limited to sun-exposed areas), skin fragility, and hypertrichosis (Bleiberg et al, 1964). After 6 years, none had clinical porphyria and only one had persistent uroporphyrinuria (Poland et al, 1971).

a) The contribution of dioxin was later disputed; hexachlorobenzene was speculated to be the causative agent in some cases (Jones & Chelsky, 1986).

## **3.14.2 CHRONIC EFFECTS**

#### A) PORPHYRIA CUTANEA TARDA

1) In a NIOSH survey, there was no increased risk for porphyria cutanea tarda or subclinical uroporphyrinuria and/or coproporphyrinuria among 281 workers exposed to dioxin for more than 15 years (Calvert et al, 1994).

## **3.15 MUSCULOSKELETAL**

## **3.15.1 ACUTE EFFECTS**

#### A) MUSCLE PAIN

1) Myalgia is a common manifestation in acute occupational exposure (Schecter & Ryan, 1992). Neuromuscular effects include severe joint and muscle pain exacerbated by exertion; this mainly affects the calves and thighs and thorax; fatigue and lower-limb weakness also occur (ILO, 1998; Sittig, 1991).

## **3.16 ENDOCRINE**

## **3.16.1 ACUTE EFFECTS**

## A) DISORDER OF ENDOCRINE SYSTEM

1) Dioxin has endocrine activity (Harbison, 1998). TCDD affects various hormone systems, particularly sex steroids, corticosteroids and thyroid hormones. It disrupts normal feedback mechanisms of the pituitary gland (Bingham et al, 2001).

B) ABNORMAL GLUCOSE TOLERANCE TEST

Pathological changes in glucose tolerance tests occurred in 40 percent of a group of 80 workers with chronic TCDD exposure (Pazderova-Vejlupkova et al, 1981).
 C) DIABETES MELLITUS

1) CASE SERIES - In Vietnam veterans involved in Operation Ranch Hand, who were exposed to high levels of dioxins as contaminants in Agent Orange during spraying operations between 1962 and 1971, the prevalence and severity of diabetes mellitus and the risk of abnormally high glucose increased, and time-to-onset of diabetes decreased, with dioxin exposure (Henriksen et al, 1997).

2) In another study in these veterans, the effect of dioxin body burden on the relation between sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG) and insulin and fasting glucose was determined. The results suggested a compensatory metabolic relationship between dioxin and insulin regulation (Michalek et al, 1999).

3) However, a study of 5,132 US chemical workers exposed to TCDD, extending an IARC study in 1997, showed a negative exposure-response trend for diabetes (Steenland et al, 1999).

4) CASE SERIES - Based on a population-based study in Belgium, 257 subjects (142 women and 115 men) were potentially exposed to environmental dioxins and PCBs. Subjects were obtained from five regions within Belgium, which included living near an iron and steel plant or around a waste dumping site; individuals potentially occupationally exposed to the chemicals were excluded. The findings indicated that diabetic individuals had higher serum levels of dioxins, coplanar PCBs, and the 12 PCB markers. Also, the results indicated a significant increase in the risk of diabetes was found in the most exposed subjects, suggesting a dose-response effect. All parameters remained statistically significant even after adjustment for possible confounders. The authors suggested that further large scale studies are needed to confirm potential causality (Fierens et al, 2003).

5) CASE SERIES - An excess of diabetes mellitus was reported among dioxin-exposed pulp and paper workers (Axelson et al, 1998).

## **3.16.2 CHRONIC EFFECTS**

A) ABNORMAL GLUCOSE TOLERANCE TEST

1) Pathological changes in glucose tolerance tests occurred in 40 percent of a group of 80 workers with chronic TCDD exposure (Pazderova-Vejlupkova et al, 1981).

## **3.16.3 ANIMAL STUDIES**

A) ANIMAL STUDIES

1) ALTERED HORMONE LEVEL

a) DECREASE IN ESTRADIOL RECEPTORS - TCDD exerts its toxicity through the aryl hydrocarbon receptor, a mechanism reminiscent of steroid hormones.

1) Acute treatment of guinea pigs, rats, and hamsters with 4, 50, and 1500 mcg/kg TCDD, respectively, decreased 17 beta-estradiol receptors in the liver by 65 and 92 percent in guinea pigs and rats, while no change was seen in hamsters. The density of receptors in uteri is inversely related to the lethal dose of TCDD (Hruska & Olson, 1989).

## **3.17 METABOLISM**

**3.17.1 ACUTE EFFECTS** 

# A) ABNORMAL ADRENAL CORTICAL HORMONE

1) INDUCTION OF CYTOCHROME P450 - TCDD induces specific cytochromes, P450-1A1 and P450-1A2, which are involved in the metabolism of certain aromatic compounds, including carcinogens and caffeine.

2) The extent of induction is related to the magnitude of toxicity (McKinney & McConnell, 1982). The highest levels are in the liver, but other tissues, including the gonads, can be involved (Silbergeld & Mattison, 1987).

3) Induction of P-4501A2 was only slightly related to serum TCDD levels in workers with some of the highest known occupational exposures (Halperin et al, 1995).

B) GENERAL METABOLIC FUNCTION

1) Disorders of fat and carbohydrate metabolism are a consequence of exposure to TCDD (ILO, 1998).

C) HYPERLIPIDEMIA

1) CASE SERIES - Hyperlipidemia was described in 3 industrially-exposed men and in none of their nonexposed colleagues (Oliver, 1975). Lipid abnormalities have persisted for 10 years or longer following acute exposure (Martin, 1984); however, it has not been proven conclusively that a cause-effect relationship exists.

D) SERUM CHOLESTEROL RAISED

1) Changes in lipid metabolism, including hypercholesterolemia, have been reported after occupational exposure to TCDD (Baxter et al, 2000; Hayes & Laws, 1991).

E) PORPHYRIA DUE TO TOXIC EFFECT OF SUBSTANCE

1) Deranged porphyrin metabolism may occur after exposure to dioxins (ILO, 1998).

2) CASE SERIES - In 11 of 29 patients with dioxin-induced chloracne, urinary uroporphyrins were increased. Concomitant signs and symptoms included 'cola-colored' urine, hyperpigmentation (limited to sun-exposed areas), skin fragility, and hypertrichosis (Bleiberg et al, 1964). After 6 years, none had clinical porphyria and only one had persistent uroporphyrinuria (Poland et al, 1971).

3) The contribution of dioxin was later disputed; hexachlorobenzene was speculated to be the causative agent in some cases (Jones & Chelsky, 1986).

# 3.17.3 ANIMAL STUDIES

A) ANIMAL STUDIES

1) HYPERLIPEMIA

a) Rabbits given dioxin intraperitoneally had an increase in serum triglyceride concentrations and a modest increase in serum cholesterol levels. Hepatic low-density lipoprotein binding was significantly depressed (Brewster et al, 1988).

2) METABOLIC DISORDER

a) The cause of death in TCDD-treated rats is progressive inhibition of gluconeogenesis (Rozman, 1989).

3) HYPOVITAMINOSIS

a) Depletion of hepatic stores of vitamin A in rats, guinea pigs and hamsters is one of the most sensitive biological markers for exposure to TCDD (Clayton & Clayton, 1994).

# 3.18 PSYCHIATRIC

# **3.18.1 ACUTE EFFECTS**

# A) FATIGUE

Asthenia, with symptoms of headache, fatigue, apathy, sleep disturbance, memory deficits, and severe muscle pain has been described, but may be attributable to other causes (Young AL, Calcagni JA & Thalken CE et al, 1978).
 B) NERVOUSNESS

1) Emotional disorders, nervousness and irritability have been found following inhalational exposure to TCDD (Sittig, 1991).

## **3.18.2 CHRONIC EFFECTS**

## A) FEELING ANXIOUS

1) POSTTRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER was associated with exposure to Agent Orange, as determined by the presence of active chloracne, in a small study of Vietnam veterans (6 exposed, 25 unexposed).

a) Because the study design did not identify the majority of exposed veterans, this association is not conclusive evidence for dioxin-induced effect (Levy, 1988).

b) A study of a larger group of exposed veterans also yielded inconclusive information (Albanese RA, 1988).

# **3.19 IMMUNOLOGIC**

# **3.19.1 ACUTE EFFECTS**

#### A) DISEASE OF IMMUNE SYSTEM

1) Some sources consider dioxins to be immunotoxic (Baxter et al, 2000). Further research has suggested the pathogenesis of immune-related diseases by DDT and tetrachlorodibenzop-dioxin (TCDD) exposure may occur through the following molecular mechanisms: modulation of intracellular calcium flux, the expression of NF-kB, and proto-oncogenes, or the levels of cyclin, bel-2, and p53 (Forawi et al, 2004).

2) TCDD was shown to suppress T-helper cell function in workers exposed for 20 years (Goldfrank, 1998).

a) Based on a review of animal data, Sherr (2004) concluded that long term TCDD-induced changes appear evident in the immune system after both primary and secondary exposure to dioxin. Its suggested that these findings may have implications for individuals exposed to TCDD who may be immunocompromised (Sherr, 2004).

b) One report states that epidemiological studies have failed to show immunotoxic effects in individuals having other clinical symptoms (Sharma & Reddy, 1987). Of ten studies assessing immune function, only one found a clear association with immunological impairment (Bingham et al, 2001).

3) CASE SERIES - Increased anergy and abnormal T-cell subsets were reported in a group of 154 persons exposed to dioxins in contaminated sludge waste in Missouri (Hoffman et al, 1986). A follow-up study failed to confirm previously reported anergy (Evans et al, 1988).B) LACK OF EFFECT

1) A study of the Vietnam veterans involved in Operation Ranch Hand, who were exposed to high levels of dioxins as contaminants in Agent Orange during spraying operations between 1962 and 1971, failed to demonstrate a consistent relationship between the level of exposure to dioxin and immune system alteration (Michalek et al, 1999a).

2) TCDD failed to alter surface marker distribution or suppress human lymphocyte proliferation in vitro (Lang et al, 1994).

## **3.19.2 CHRONIC EFFECTS**

## A) CELLULAR IMMUNE DEFECT

1) TCDD was shown to suppress T-helper cell function in workers exposed for 20 years (Goldfrank, 1998).

## **3.19.3 ANIMAL STUDIES**

A) ANIMAL STUDIES

1) IMMUNOGLOBULINS DECREASED

a) SUPPRESSED HUMORAL ANTIBODIES - IgM antibody response to sheep red blood cells was suppressed in mice exposed subchronically to dioxin (Holsapple et al, 1984).b) Depression of humoral immunity (antibody plaque-forming response to sheep

erythrocytes) was a more sensitive endpoint than cellular immunity (cytotoxic T lymphocyte response to P815 mastocytoma cells) in mice given intraperitoneal injections of 1 and 3 mcg/kg/week of TCDD (Hanson & Smialowicz, 1994).

c) TCDD inhibited differentiation of mouse B cells into antibody-secreting cells in vitro. Inhibition in congenic strains correlated with aryl hydrocarbon receptor activity (Tucker et al, 1986).

d) In contrast to results in mice, TCDD enhanced humoral immunity in rats when given in single intraperitoneal injections at 1 to 30 mcg/kg; these doses were sufficient to induce cytochrome P-4501A1 and 1A2 (Smialowicz et al, 1994).

2) ENDOCRINE DISORDER

a) THYMIC ATROPHY has been demonstrated in every mammalian species tested (Anon, 1988).

1) Thymic and splenic atrophy were acute responses in rats fed TCDD at levels up to 1 ppm in the diet for 78 weeks (VanMiller et al, 1977).

3) IMMUNE SYSTEM DISORDER

a) Mice exposed to TCDD pre- and postnatally had bone marrow hypocellularity, depressed macrophage-granulocyte progenitor and stem cell colony formation, depressed lymphoproliferative responses, and increased susceptibility to bacterial or tumor challenge (Luster et al, 1980).

# **3.20 REPRODUCTIVE HAZARDS**

## **3.20.1 TERATOGENICITY**

#### A) CONGENITAL ANOMALY

1) There is no conclusive evidence that dioxins cause birth defects in humans (Erickson et al, 1984; IOM, 1993; Pearn, 1985). This is because various reports involved mixed exposures, poorly documented exposures, or inconsistent patterns of abnormalities. The controversy continues about whether dioxins are human teratogens; TCDD is considered developmentally toxic by California Proposition 65 (Schardein, 2000).

a) Of the 31 published studies on human reproductive effects of dioxin (combined with 2,4dichlorophenol and 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid) reviewed by Hayes & Laws (1991), 10 showed positive effects and 21 were negative. Of the studies with negative associations overall, 4 had positive associations in subsets of groups or data.

b) However, TCDD is considered an animal teratogen, producing both developmental and reproductive toxicity (Baxter et al, 2000; Bingham et al, 2001).

c) The US EPA has been re-evaluating the health effects of dioxins. In its report version ("Draft Final" of May 2000), it is concluded that TCDD is a likely developmental and reproductive toxin. The level at which these effects may be experienced remains unclear ((EPA, 2000a)) 2000c).

2) Studies of Vietnam veterans involved in Operation Ranch Hand, the unit responsible for aerial spraying of herbicides in Vietnam between 1962 and 1971, have provided little support for the theory of a connection between adverse reproductive effects and paternal exposure to Agent Orange and its dioxin contaminants (Michalek et al, 1998b; Wolfe et al, 1995).

a) Increased nervous system defects were seen in children of Vietnam veterans involved in Operation Ranch Hand, but these were based on sparse data (Wolfe et al, 1995). However, increased rates of fetal loss before 20 weeks, and skeletal, CNS and cardiovascular

malformations were seen in a group of 832 Tasmanian Vietnam veterans' families (Field & Kerr, 1988).

b) Other studies have failed to find an association between exposure to dioxins in Vietnam and increased rates of birth defects through paternally mediated effects (Erikson et al, 1984; (Walsh et al, 1983).

3) A study of Canadian saw-mill workers exposed to chlorophenols contaminated with TCDD found an association between male exposure and the occurrence of eye, neural tube and genital malformations (Dimich-Ward et al, 1996).

4) Lower psychomotor scores at 3 months of age were correlated with higher prenatal exposures to TCDD and not to PCB's, determined as maternal levels during the last month of gestation; this difference disappeared by 18 months of age (Koopman-Esseboom et al, 1996).

5) Neurological development of a group of Dutch infants was negatively affected to a slight extent by prenatal, but not by lactational, exposure to dioxins (Huisman et al, 1995).B) GROWTH RETARDED

1) Lower birthweights have been seen in offspring of women living on the east coast of Sweden, where the diet is rich in fish contaminated with persistent organochlorine compounds from the Baltic Sea (Rylander & Hagmar, 1995).

2) Lower birthweights and lengths were also seen in offspring of 221 teachers exposed to dioxins, dibenzofurans, lindane and pentachlorophenol in indoor air (Karmaus & Wolf, 1995). Because of mixed exposures, these effects could not be attributed specifically to TCDD. They were ascertained not to be due to shorter gestational age, and were therefore possibly due to a direct toxic effect on pregnancy.

3) Two early episodes of 'Yusho' or 'Yucheng' disease ('oil disease') involved contaminated cooking oil in Fukuoka Prefecture, Japan, in 1968 and Yu-Cheng, Taiwan, in 1979 (Hayes & Laws, 1991; Schardein, 2000).

a) The contaminants were dioxins and/or dioxin-like compounds including PCBs, chlorodibenzofurans, and quarterphenyl TCDD, formed from heating of PCBs. The toxic effects were thought to be due mainly to dibenzofurans (Schecter et al, 1994).

b) Offspring had an odd 'cola' skin color and minor skeletal anomalies (Schardein, 2000). Reproductive effects included ectodermal dysplasia, clustered in organs derived from the ectodermal germ layer, including skin, nails, and meibomian glands. Developmental and psychomotor delay and growth retardation were also present, and persisted throughout childhood (Chen et al, 1992; Guo et al, 1994; Hsu et al, 1993; Lai et al, 1993).

4) Studies of Vietnam veterans of Operation Ranch Hand did not demonstrate an increased risk of intrauterine growth retardation associated with paternal exposure to dioxins (Michalek et al, 1998b).

C) LACK OF EFFECT

1) About 2,900 kg organic matter and 1.5 to 2 kg TCDD were released over a 700-acre area of urbanized land near Seveso, Italy, on 10 July 1976, when a runaway exothermic reaction led to a blowout of a 2,4,5-trichlorophenol production reactor (Baxter et al, 2000; Bingham et al, 2001; Schardein, 2000).

a) A study of births after the Seveso accident showed no increased risk of malformations; however, the number of exposed fetuses was too low to detect a small increased teratogenicity risk (Mastroiacovo et al, 1988).

b) One investigator reported an increase in the rate of malformations in the Seveso area, from 0.13 percent before the accident to 0.87 percent when exposed women would have delivered, but there was no consistency as to the types of malformations seen (Reggiani, 1978).

c) A later study of 999 pregnancies in low-exposure areas and 203 in moderate-exposure areas showed a statistically significant increase in cardiovascular, genitourinary and skeletal abnormalities 18 to 30 months after moderate exposure (Schardein, 2000).

d) However, no major malformations were found by a study of the birth registry of the mostcontaminated area for births January 1977 to December 1982. It was concluded that the data failed to show an increased risk of birth defects related to dioxin, although controversy over the hazardous effects of this exposure continue (Schardein, 2000).

2) No increases in cleft lip or palate were seen among families living nearby after initiation of waste incineration in Sweden (Jansson & Voog, 1989). In a study of 930 male chlorophenol workers and their wives, no adverse reproductive outcomes were found compared with an unexposed group (Townsend et al, 1982).

#### D) ANIMAL STUDIES

1) TCDD is an extremely potent teratogen in rodents. Although results have varied, it shows teratogenicity in mice, rabbits, hamsters and ferrets, equivocal results in rats, and negative results in primates (Schardein, 2000; ILO, 1998). Effects on the developing fetus have been demonstrated at doses more than 100 times lower than those producing maternal toxicity (Bingham et al, 2001).

2) TCDD is teratogenic in various strains of mice (Courtney & Moore, 1971). Indeed, the mouse is the most sensitive experimental system for studying the teratogenic effects of TCDD; all mouse studies demonstrate teratogenicity (Couture et al, 1990; Schardein, 2000).a) In mice, TCDD has reportedly produced developmental abnormalities of the craniofacial, immune, reticuloendothelial, urogenital, endocrine and musculoskeletal systems, as well as fetal death and post-implantation mortality (RTECS, 2001).

b) Decreased developmental rates were noted in mouse embryos after exposure to 1 to 5 ppm TCDD (Tsutsumi, 2000).

c) DBA/2J mice, which are resistant to the induction of cytochrome P-450 by TCDD, were 2- to 3-fold more resistant to induction of hydronephrosis and cleft palate than the C57BL/6J strain (Hassoun & Stohs, 1996).

d) An increased incidence of cleft palate and hydronephrosis was seen in mouse studies with TCDD (Birnbaum et al, 1991). Thymic hypoplasia is also a characteristic finding in mice exposed prenatally to TCDD (Couture et al, 1990).

e) Cleft palate and dilated renal pelvis were seen in fetal mice exposed to 3 mcg/kg/day TCDD by oral gavage on days 6 to 15 of gestation, and cleft palate was noted at a dose of at 1 mcg/kg/day (Smith et al, 1976).

f) No effects on teratogenicity or development were seen in offspring of male mice exposed to 2.4 mcg/kg TCDD in the diet for 8 weeks (mixed with 2,4-dichlorophenol and 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid) and mated with untreated females (Lamb et al, 1981b).

3) In rats, TCDD produced developmental abnormalities of the endocrine, urogenital, and blood and lymphatic systems, and also produced fetal death and stunted fetuses (RTECS, 2001). In rabbits, it produced developmental abnormalities of the musculoskeletal and urogenital systems, and abortions, pre-implantation mortality and fetal death (RTECS, 2001). Cognitive deficits have been reported in monkeys exposed perinatally to dioxins (Schantz & Bowman, 1989).

4) The relative susceptibility of guinea pigs, rats, and hamsters for induction of hydronephrosis was roughly similar, in contrast to their differing sensitivity for acute toxicity of TCDD (Olson & McGarrigle, 1992).

a) This is also true for different strains of rats. Single doses of TCDD in the range of 1 to 10 mcg/kg produced malformations in both Long-Evans and Han/Wistar rats, but the acute LD50s in these two strains differ by 1,000-fold (Huuskonen et al, 1994).

b) The spectrum of effects was different in the two strains of rats. Cleft palate occurred in more than 70 percent of live Long-Evans fetuses exposed to 5 mcg/kg on day 8 or 12 of gestation. Hydronephrosis and gastrointestinal hemorrhaging were produced in the Han/Wistar fetuses (Huuskonen et al, 1994).

5) Other dioxin-like compounds, 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzofuran and 3,3',4,4'tetrachloroazoxy benzene, were also teratogenic in mice and produced similar types of defects seen with TCDD, but with a lower potency, corresponding to their relative affinities for the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (Abdul Malek Hassoun, 1985).

6) Sprague-Dawley rats exposed orally to 1 microgram TCDD/kg body weight on either gestational day (GD) 15, GD 18 and postnatal day (PND) 2 revealed significant decreases in the urogenital complex and ventral prostate weights and urogenital-glans penis length of male offspring of rats exposed on GD 15 only (Ohsako, 2002).

7) Exposure of adult rainbow trout to TCDD at concentrations comparable to current environmental concentrations (females fed 1.8ng TCDD/kg) adversely affected survival of the fry (Giesy, 2002).

## **3.20.2 EFFECTS IN PREGNANCY**

#### A) PLACENTAL BARRIER

1) There is limited transplacental transfer of TCDD to the fetus (Hayes & Laws, 1991). The placental transport of dioxins from mother to fetus that has been shown to occur is probably related to fatty acid transport (Koppe et al, 1992).

B) ABORTION

1) Studies of Vietnam veterans involved in Operation Ranch Hand, the unit responsible for aerial spraying of herbicides in Vietnam between 1962 and 1971, showed no meaningful increase in the risk for spontaneous abortion or stillbirth associated with paternal exposure to Agent Orange and its dioxin contaminants (Wolfe et al, 1995).

2) In a previous study, a small but statistically significant increase in miscarriage rate was noted in women whose mates were exposed to Agent Orange in Vietnam (Stellman et al, 1988). A study of residents of Times Beach, Missouri, who were exposed to dioxin after roads were sprayed with TCDD-contaminated waste oil for dust control, failed to confirm this (Stockbauer et al, 1988).

3) Apparent clusters of spontaneous abortions related to spraying 2,4,5-

trichlorophenoxyacetic acid in forestry operations near Alsea, Oregon were studied by the US EPA. A second EPA study claimed an association between miscarriages and spraying, but it has been strongly criticized because of failure to follow accepted epidemiological methods (discussed in AMA, 1981).

4) Studies of pregnancy outcomes in Seveso showed that abortion rates after the blowout of a 2,4,5-trichlorophenol production reactor released TCDD over large area were comparable to the expected rates in other, non-exposed areas (Schardein, 2000).

C) PREGNANCY DISORDER

1) More complications of pregnancy were seen in a group of Tasmanian Vietnam veterans' wives than in a comparison group (Field & Kerr, 1988).

D) STILLBIRTH

1) A study of the Vietnam veterans of Operation Ranch Hand showed an increased risk of preterm death for offspring in both the 'high' and 'background' exposure categories; the authors concluded this risk might not be linked to the paternal dioxin level (Michalek et al, 1998b). Another study found no meaningful increase in the risk of stillbirth (Wolfe et al, 1995)

## E) LACK OF EFFECT

1) Studies in Seveso of 623 women pregnant (one-third in the first trimester) at the time of the accident showed that this TCDD exposure produced no unusual incidence of prenatal or postnatal mortality, and the offspring born showed no ill effects (Hayes & Laws, 1991; Schardein, 2000).

#### F) ANIMAL STUDIES

1) TCDD is lethal to embryos or fetuses of mammals, birds, and fish at doses which are not maternally toxic (EPA, 1994b).

2) Smaller litter sizes, increased neonatal mortality, and reduced growth rates were seen in F2 and F3 generations of rats fed 0.01 mcg/kg/day TCDD (Murray et al, 1977).

3) Increased pre- and post-implantation loss and fetal growth retardation were seen in rats receiving 0.5 to 2 mcg/kg/day by gavage for 2 weeks prior to mating (Giavini et al, 1983).
4) TCDD has been shown to produce cardiovascular toxicity in piscine, avian and mammalian embryos. One study revealed a possible mechanism to be reduction in myocyte proliferation and subsequent thinner ventricle wall (Ivnitski et al, 2001).

## 3.20.3 EFFECTS DURING BREAST-FEEDING

#### A) BREAST MILK

1) TCDD is lipophilic, therefore it accumulates in breast milk (Baxter et al, 2000).

a) Nursing infants are a group at highest risk for exposure to dioxins. One survey of 42 US mothers found an average of 16 pg TEQ/g (16 ppt) in the lipid fraction of breast milk, of which only 3.3 ppt was due to TCDD (Schecter et al, 1992). Breast milk from German mothers had even higher levels, averaging 31 ppt (Beck et al, 1991).

b) Levels as high as 1,832 ppt were seen in breast milk fat collected from South Vietnamese women in 1970 (Schecter et al, 1995). Samples of human milk from areas in Vietnam heavily treated with 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid were analyzed in 1974 and found to contain 40 to 50 ppt of TCDD. No TCDD was detected in milk from residents of West Texas where heavy spraying for brush control occurred (Schecter et al, 1995).

c) In one study, dioxin concentrations in the milk fat of breast milk in 14 mothers were found to be 80 to 132 ppt, close to or in the range necessary to induce liver enzymes. The authors suggest the possibility that enzyme induction in these babies may result in vitamin K deficiency, resulting in bleeding (Koppe, 1989).

d) NEUROLOGICAL DEFICITS - Neonatal neurological deficits, determined by the Prechtl neurological examination, were related to concentrations of 17 dioxin congeners and other dioxin-like compounds in breast milk measured 2 weeks post-partum in a group of 209 breast-fed Dutch infants (Huisman et al, 1995).

#### **B) THYROID DISORDER**

1) Significant increases in total thyroxine (T4) and thyrotropin (TSH) levels were seen in infants, in relation to dioxin concentrations in the milk fat of their mothers (Pluim et al, 1992; Pluim et al, 1993).

C) ANIMAL STUDIES

1) Adult rats were immunosuppressed after exposure to TCDD through the nursing mothers (Badesha et al, 1995).

## **3.20.4 FEMALE REPRODUCTIVE HAZARDS**

#### A) ANIMAL STUDIES

1) Decreased female fertility and inability to maintain pregnancy, ovarian dysfunction and alterations in hormone levels have been shown in limited studies in experimental animals (EPA, 1994b). These are among the most sensitive endpoints for reproductive toxicity of TCDD in mammals (Peterson et al, 1993).

2) Rats fed 0.1 mcg/kg/day had severely impaired fertility in the first generation, and a dietary level of 0.01 mcg/kg/day significantly decreased fertility in the F1 and F2 generations. The NOAEL was 0.001 mcg/kg/day (Murray et al, 1977).

3) Endometriosis was induced in Rhesus monkeys by TCDD (Rier et al, 1993).

4) DELAYED SEXUAL DEVELOPMENT - Delayed vaginal opening was seen in female rats exposed to TCDD at doses up to 1,000 ng/kg on day 19 of gestation, followed by 120 or 400 ng/kg/week after birth (Thiel et al, 1994).

5) Female rats fed 1 microgram TCDD/kg on GD 15 were sacrificed on GD 17, 18, 19 and 21, then the fetal reproductive tract was examined. By GD 18, the width of mesenchyme separating the Mulleriand ducts was significantly greater than controls. The zone of unfused Mullarian ducts was significantly increased by GD 19 and 21 (Hurst, 2002).

## **3.20.5 MALE REPRODUCTIVE HAZARDS**

## A) HUMANS

#### 1) TESTIS DISORDER

a) DECREASED SPERM QUALITY has been seen in Vietnam veterans by the Centers for Disease Control; this cannot be attributed solely to TCDD because of mixed exposures, but bears further investigation. US military working dogs with similar exposures have had increased risk for testicular dysfunction and testicular seminoma (Hayes et al, 1990).
b) Children exposed in utero during the 'Yusho' incident in Taiwan in 1979 involving contaminated cooking oil subsequently had sperm with increased abnormal morphology, decreased motility and a reduced ability to penetrate hamster oocytes (Guo et al, 2000).
2) ALTERED HORMONE LEVEL

a) Increases in follicle-stimulating hormone or luteinizing hormone and decreases in testosterone, were significantly correlated with current serum dioxin levels in an NIOSH occupational cohort of 248 chemical production workers. These are thought to be the result of subtle gonadal effects and not primary gonadal failure, because low testosterone and high luteinizing hormone were not present in the same individuals (Egeland et al, 1994).
3) IMPOTENCE

a) Impotence and decreased libido have been reported in men occupationally exposed to high acute levels of dioxins (Moses et al, 1984).

#### 4) LACK OF EFFECT

a) Studies of Vietnam veterans involved in Operation Ranch Hand, the unit responsible for aerial spraying of herbicides in Vietnam between 1962 and 1971, showed no meaningful association between serum dioxin levels and levels of testosterone, follicle-stimulating hormone or luteinizing hormone, or testicular abnormalities, sperm count, sperm abnormalities or testicular volume (Henrickson et al, 1996).

#### **B) ANIMAL STUDIES**

1) REDUCED SPERM COUNTS and testicular atrophy, abnormal testicular histopathology, reduced male fertility, decreased testosterone synthesis, altered regulation of pituitary LH secretion, and reduced plasma androgen concentrations have been demonstrated in experimental animals in response to TCDD (EPA, 1994b).

a) Rats exposed perinatally to levels of TCDD up to 1 mcg/kg on day 15 of gestation had dose-related reductions in sperm production and weights of the testis, epididymis, and cauda epididymis for up to 120 days after exposure (Mably et al, 1992c). Descent of testes was delayed (Moore et al, 1990). Fertility, measured at 70 and 120 days of age, was not affected, however (Bjerke et al, 1990).

b) Decreased spermatogenesis is among the most sensitive reproductive endpoints for TCDD in mammals (Peterson et al, 1993).

c) Fifteen percent of male rats given an LD25 dose of TCDD were sterile (Chahoud et al, 1989).

d) A single SC dose of 3 mcg/kg TCDD was sufficient to inhibit spermatogenesis in rats (Chahoud et al, 1992). The aryl hydrocarbon receptor is present in the testes of rats (Johnson et al, 1992).

2) ALTERED SEX HORMONES - Alterations in sexual behavior and LH secretion of male rats occurred in response to oral perinatal doses as low as 0.064 mcg/kg on day 15 of gestation. The TCDD treatment had a demasculinizing and feminizing effect (Mably et al, 1992b).

a) Suppression of serum testosterone occurred pre- and post-natally in male rats born to dams given TCDD up to 1 mcg/kg on day 15 of gestation (Mably et al, 1991; Mably et al, 1992a).

b) TCDD reduced the number and size of Leydig cells in the testes of rats. Leydig cells are the site of testosterone production (Johnson et al, 1994).

3) Paternally mediated reproductive effects were not seen in male rats given TCDD (Chahoud et al, 1991).

4) Male C57BL/6 mice exposed to 2.4 mcg/kg of TCDD in the diet for 8 weeks (mixed with 2,4-dichlorophenol and 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid) did not show significant reduction in fertility or sperm quality (Lamb et al, 1981a).

# **3.21 CARCINOGENICITY**

# **3.21.1 IARC CATEGORY**

A) IARC Carcinogenicity Ratings for CAS1746-01-6 (IARC, 2004):

1) IARC Classification

a) Listed as: 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-para-dioxin

b) Carcinogen Rating: 1

1) The agent (mixture) is carcinogenic to humans. The exposure circumstance entails exposures that are carcinogenic to humans. This category is used when there is sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in humans. Exceptionally, an agent (mixture) may be placed in this category when evidence of carcinogenicity in humans is less than sufficient but there is sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in experimental animals and strong evidence in exposed humans that the agent (mixture) acts through a relevant mechanism of carcinogenicity.

## **3.21.2 SUMMARY/HUMAN STUDIES**

A) Dioxins are probable human carcinogens; TCDD is a known human carcinogen. Results are conflicting regarding increased overall cancer morbidity and mortality, and for an association with soft tissue sarcomas, non-Hodgkin and Hodgkin lymphoma. There is limited evidence of an association with myeloma and pulmonary, prostate, gastric and breast carcinoma. The upper limit for overall risk in the general population may be as high as 1:1,000.

## **3.21.3 SUMMARY/ANIMAL STUDIES**

A) TCDD is the most potent known animal carcinogen and tumor promoter.

## **3.21.4 HUMAN STUDIES**

A) LACK OF INFORMATION

1) There is INADEQUATE/INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE to determine whether an association exits between dioxin exposure and the following cancers (IOM, 1993):

a) Nasal/nasopharyngeal cancer

b) Neoplasm

c) Bone cancer

d) Cervical cancer and female reproductive cancers

e) Renal cancer

f) Testis neoplasm, malignant

2) There is LIMITED/SUGGESTIVE EVIDENCE OF NO ASSOCIATION of the

following cancers and dioxin exposure (IOM, 1993):

a) Skin cancer

b) Bladder cancer

c) Brain tumors

 Increased deaths from brain cancer were seen 10 years after the Seveso accident, in which the blowout of a 2,4,5-trichlorophenol production reactor released TCDD over a large area near Seveso, Italy, in 1976, but they were not dose-related (Bertazzi et al, 1989).
 B) CARCINOMA

1) OVERALL CANCER MORBIDITY AND MORTALITY - TCDD is a known human carcinogen (Bingham et al, 2001; IARC, 1997). The upper limit for overall risk for human cancer among the general population may be as high as 1:1,000 (EPA, 1994b; Johnson, 1995). Evidence is strong for an association with soft tissue sarcomas; Hodgkin disease and non-Hodgkin lymphoma have been linked more with dioxin-contaminated chlorphenoxy herbicides (IOM, 1993).

2) Several studies have shown associations between dioxin exposure and increased risk of cancer morbidity and mortality:

a) IARC reported an increased risk for all cancers combined in a group of cohorts from the US, the Netherlands, Germany and Seveso, Italy, with a higher increase in risk in those suffering the heaviest exposure (IARC, 1997).

b) A study of 5,132 US chemical workers, extending that 1997 IARC study, showed an excess of all cancers combined limited to workers exposed to 100 to 1,000 times the levels of TCDD experienced by the general population (Steenland et al, 1999). Overall cancer mortality was highest in a group of German workers with highest exposure to dioxins (Becher et al, 1996).

c) Dose-dependent increases in risk of mortality from all cancers were seen in a retrospective cohort of 1,189 male chemical workers exposed to TCDD and other higher chlorinated dioxins and furans. The highest relative risk was 3.30 in the highest decile. Quantitative exposures were obtained from analysis of blood and adipose tissue (Fleschjanys et al, 1995).

d) A retrospective cohort study of 1,583 workers potentially exposed to dioxin showed an increased rate of cancer mortality in men with more than 20 years of employment in the chemical plant (Manz et al, 1991).

e) A retrospective cohort study of mortality among 5,172 workers potentially exposed to dioxin showed excess mortality from all cancers combined, cancers of the respiratory tract and soft-tissue sarcoma. The possible contribution of factors such as smoking and occupational exposure to other chemicals cannot be excluded (Fingerhut et al, 1991). f) Increased deaths from cancers were seen in a group of 74 persons after acute exposure to the state of the second se

dioxin in an industrial accident (7 observed versus 4.1 expected) (Thiess et al, 1982).3) Other studies have found no association between dioxin exposure and cancer mortality:

a) A study of the Vietnam veterans involved in Operation Ranch Hand, who were exposed to high levels of dioxins as contaminants in Agent Orange during spraying operations between 1962 and 1971, showed no statistically significant increase in cancer risk in the group categorized as having the highest dioxin exposure (Ketchum et al, 1999).

b) A 15-year followup of these Operation Ranch Hand veterans also showed no significant increase in the risk of death from cancer at all sites, as well as a nonsignificant increase in the number of deaths from bronchus and lung cancer (Michalek et al, 1998).

c) US Vietnam veterans have a 50-percent increased risk for non-Hodgkin lymphoma, but it is not apparently related to exposure to dioxins. Moreover, they do not seem to be at increased risk for soft tissue or other sarcomas, liver cancer, Hodgkin disease, or nasal or nasopharyngeal cancer (10).

d) A 15-year study of cancer risk in Seveso, after the 1976 blowout of a 2,4,5trichlorophenol production reactor released TCDD over large area, found no increase in allcancer mortality or major specific sites (respiratory for males; breast for females) (Bertazzi et al, 1997).

e) No increase in deaths due to all cancers was seen in a cohort study of 2,192 potentially exposed chemical workers (Bond et al, 1989a; Ott et al, 1987).

f) Deaths from all causes, all cancers, or any specific cancer were not significantly increased in 963 Dutch workers who had been occupationally exposed to TCDD, or in a subset of 139 who probably had higher-level acute exposure during a production accident involving 2,4,5-trichlorophenol (de Mesquita & Doornbos, 1993).

g) No excess deaths from cancer were seen in a group of 121 workers thought to be exposed to TCDD in an industrial accident in West Virginia nearly 30 years previously. Exposure was presumed from development of chloracne (Anon, 1980).

4) A review of the EPA's assessment of dioxin as a cause of cancer found that in fact dioxin is a promoter blocker of certain cancers, including those previously considered by the EPA to be promoted by dioxin, a promoter of some cancers not identified by the EPA, and, overall, a net anticarcinogen (Kayajanian, 1997).

5) Dioxin (as TCDD) may have chemoprotective properties; its aryl hydrocarbon receptor turns off proliferation in tumor cells and suppresses their ability to invade normal tissue (Greenlee et al, 2001).

C) SARCOMA

1) There is reportedly sufficient evidence of an association between dioxins and the development of soft-tissue sarcoma, with a two-fold increase reported in a 1997 IARC study of 30,000 dioxin-exposed workers in 12 countries (ILO, 1998; IOM, 1993).

a) A 15-year study of cancer risk in Seveso, after the 1976 blowout of a 2,4,5-

trichlorophenol production reactor released TCDD over large area, found an increase in softtissue sarcoma only in males in the area of lowest exposure (Bertazzi et al, 1997).

b) A retrospective cohort study of mortality among 5,172 workers potentially exposed to dioxin showed excess mortality from all cancers combined and soft-tissue sarcoma. The possible contribution of factors such as smoking and occupational exposure to other chemicals cannot be excluded (Fingerhut et al, 1991).

c) Nonsignificant increases in deaths from soft-tissue sarcoma (n=4) were seen 10 and 19 years after first occupational exposure in an IARC cohort of 18,910 production workers or sprayers exposed to chlorophenoxy herbicides (Saracci et al, 1991).

d) Eriksson et al (1990) found an increased risk for soft-tissue sarcoma in a populationbased case control study.

e) Sarcomas were attributed to TCDD exposure in several small case-control studies in Sweden; however, exposure to other chemicals (chlorophenols and phenoxyherbicides) was evident (Cook, 1981; Hardell, 1979) Hardell & Sandstrom, 1979; (Hardell & Eriksson, 1988; Honchar & Halperin, 1981).

f) An increased risk for soft-tissue sarcoma was seen in relation to exposure to TCDD (OR=5.2) and polychlorinated dibenzodioxins or furans (OR=5.6) in two nested case-control studies. The risk was higher for exposure to any phenoxy herbicide, however (OR=10.3) (Kogevinas et al, 1995).

2) Other studies have shown no association between soft-tissue sarcomas and dioxin exposure:

a) Increased mortality from soft-tissue sarcoma was seen in a group of 754 persons occupationally exposed to 4-aminobiphenyl and TCDD in an industrial accident, but not in those thought to be exposed to TCDD alone (Collins et al, 1993).

b) Two larger case-control studies involving a total of 363 sarcoma patients found no relationship with occupational exposure to phenoxyherbicides, chlorophenols, or exposure to herbicides used in Vietnam (Greenwald et al, 1984; Smith et al, 1984).

3) The latency period for soft-tissue sarcoma in adults is probably long; many years of observation will be necessary before more-conclusive evidence can be obtained (Kogan & Clapp, 1988).

D) LYMPHOMA-LIKE DISORDER

1) There is reportedly sufficient evidence for an association of lymphoma-like disorders with herbicides (IOM, 1993).

2) There was a significant increase in morbidity related to malignant lymphoma in 105 cases exposed to phenoxy acids or chlorophenols (Hardell, 1981).

3) Studies on NON-HODGKIN LYMPHOMA have produced conflicting results:
a) Most studies reported by IARC have shown a nonsignificant increased risk for non-Hodgkin lymphoma (IARC, 1997). The increased rate of non-Hodgkin lymphoma was especially true for workers exposed to TCDD-contaminated herbicides (ILO, 1998).
b) Increased deaths from non-Hodgkin lymphoma were seen in a cohort of 2,479 German

workers who were exposed to dioxins and phenoxy herbicides (Becher et al, 1996). c) The association between phenoxy herbicides (and TCDD specifically) and non-Hodgkin lymphoma was lower than the risk for soft-tissue sarcoma in two nested case-control studies of an international cohort (Kogevinas et al, 1995).

d) Some data show an increased risk for non-Hodgkin lymphoma in persons exposed to dioxins and not to phenoxy herbicides. The relative risk for morbidity from non-Hodgkin lymphoma was 3.5 in the least-exposed persons Seveso, after the 1976 blowout of a 2,4,5-trichlorophenol production reactor that released TCDD over large area. This study did not show a clear dose-response, however (Bertazzi et al, 1993).

e) No significant increases in non-Hodgkin lymphoma were found in large studies on occupationally exposed persons (Fingerhut et al, 1991; Manz et al, 1991; Saracci et al, 1991; Zober et al, 1990).

1) A significant increase in morbidity related to non-Hodgkin lymphoma was found in a study 106 cases exposed to phenoxy acids (Persson et al, 1989). Increased but nonsignificant risks for non-Hodgkin lymphoma were found in studies of farmers and agricultural workers exposed to the herbicide 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (Hoar et al, 1986; Zahm et al, 1990).

2) Because these would have been the most heavily TCDD-exposed groups, the evidence suggests that the association with non-Hodgkin lymphoma is due to PHENOXY HERBICIDES, not dioxins (IOM, 1993). Refer to CHLORPHENOXY COMPOUNDS MEDITEXT(R) medical management for further information.

4) For HODGKIN DISEASE, there is reportedly sufficient evidence of an association with HERBICIDES (IOM, 1993). Studies relating Hodgkin disease with dioxin exposure and/or herbicides have had mixed results:

a) A 15-year study of cancer risk in Seveso after the 1976 accident found an increase in hematological neoplasms; one of the highest risks was Hodgkin disease in both men and women, in the area of lower exposure (Bertazzi et al, 1997).

b) A suggestive increase in incidence of Hodgkin disease occurred during the first 10 years after the Seveso accident in the youngest segment of the exposed population (Pesatori et al, 1993).

c) Nearly all of the 13 case-control and occupational studies have shown an association between exposure and Hodgkin disease, but not all results have been statistically significant (IOM, 1993). The occupational groups most heavily exposed to dioxins did NOT show an increase in Hodgkin disease, however (IOM, 1993).

d) In a group of 60 patients, there was a dose-related risk for development of Hodgkin disease in relation to exposure to chlorophenols (which may contain dioxins as contaminants) (Hardell et al, 1981; Hardell & Bengtsson, 1983). A nonsignificant association between exposure to phenoxy acids and Hodgkin disease was seen in a cohort of 54 cases (Persson et al, 1989).

E) MYELOMA

1) There is limited/suggestive evidence of an association of dioxin exposure and myeloma (IOM, 1993). Increased risk for multiple myeloma has been reported after occupational exposure to TCDD (IARC, 1977).

2) A 15-year study of cancer risk in Seveso, after the 1976 blowout of a 2,4,5trichlorophenol production reactor released TCDD over large area, found an increase in hematological neoplasms; one of the highest risks was multiple myeloma in women in the area of lower exposure (Bertazzi et al, 1997).

3) The relative risk for morbidity from multiple myeloma among women with intermediate exposure in the Seveso accident was 5.3 after 10 years (Bertazzi et al, 1993).

4) Of 10 studies of forestry and agricultural workers exposed to dioxins in herbicides (and possibly as by-products of burning), all showed an elevated risk for multiple myeloma. The association was statistically significant in seven of these studies (IOM, 1993).

5) Stratification of exposure groups has shown increased risk with herbicide (with dioxin contaminant) exposure (Alavanja et al, 1989; Boffetta et al, 1989; Burmeister et al, 1983; Cantor & Blair, 1984).

F) LEUKEMIA

1) There is inadequate/insufficient evidence to determine whether or not an association exits between dioxin exposure and leukemia (IOM, 1993).

2) A 15-year study of cancer risk in Seveso, after the 1976 blowout of a 2,4,5trichlorophenol production reactor released TCDD over large area, found an increase in hematological neoplasms; one of the highest risks was leukemia in men in the area of lower exposure (Bertazzi et al, 1997).

3) Increased deaths from leukemias were seen 10 years after the Seveso accident, but they were not dose-related (Bertazzi et al, 1989). The relative risk for morbidity from myeloid leukemia was 3.7 among women living in the zone of intermediate exposure (Bertazzi et al, 1993). The incidence of myeloid leukemia was increased in the youngest population segment 10 years after exposure, but this increase was not statistically significant (RR = 2.7) (Pesatori et al, 1993).

## G) PULMONARY CARCINOMA

1) There is limited/suggestive evidence of an association of dioxin exposure and pulmonary carcinoma (IOM, 1993). Workers exposed to TCDD had an increase in cancer mortality from respiratory cancer (Goldfrank, 1998).

2) Increased deaths from cancers of the respiratory tract (SMR = 154) and buccal cavity/pharynx (SMR = 295) were seen in a cohort of 2,479 German workers exposed to dioxins and phenoxy herbicides (Becher et al, 1996).

3) A retrospective cohort study of mortality among 5172 workers potentially exposed to dioxins showed excess mortality from all cancers combined and cancers of the respiratory tract. The possible contribution of factors such as smoking and occupational exposure to other chemicals cannot be excluded (Fingerhut et al, 1991).

4) Other occupational cohorts heavily exposed to TCDD have also shown an increase in respiratory cancers (Manz et al, 1991; Saracci et al, 1991; Zober et al, 1990).

5) Herbicide applicators have also shown an increase in respiratory tract cancers (Axelson & Sundell, 1974; Blair et al, 1983) Green, 1991; (Riihimaki et al, 1982).

## H) PROSTATE CARCINOMA

1) There is limited/suggestive evidence of an association of dioxin exposure and prostate cancer (IOM, 1993).

2) Increased risk of prostate cancer in Canadian farmers was associated with herbicide spraying (Morrison et al, 1993).

3) Because prostate cancer is one of the most common cancers and is increasingly prevalent with age, the likelihood of finding a clear-cut association with any exposure is small.I) HEPATIC CARCINOMA

1) There is reportedly inadequate/insufficient evidence to determine whether or not an association exits between dioxin exposure and liver cancer (IOM, 1993).

2) Increased deaths from biliary cancer were seen in women 10 years after the Seveso accident, in which the 1976 blowout of a 2,4,5-trichlorophenol production reactor released TCDD over large area near Seveso, Italy, but they were not dose-related (Bertazzi et al, 1989; Schardein, 2000). The relative risk for morbidity among persons in the intermediate-exposure group was 2.8 (Bertazzi et al, 1993).

## J) GASTRIC CARCINOMA

1) There is reportedly limited/suggestive evidence of no association between dioxin exposure and stomach, pancreatic, colon and rectal cancers (IOM, 1993).

2) However, a 15-year study of cancer risk in Seveso, after the 1976 blowout of a 2,4,5trichlorophenol production reactor released TCDD over large area near Seveso, Italy, found a moderate increase in mortality from 'digestive' cancer among women in the zone of highest exposure to dioxin, and, in an area of lower exposure, increased mortality from stomach cancer in women and increased mortality from rectal cancer in men (Bertazzi et al, 1997).

3) Workers exposed to TCDD had an increase in cancer mortality from 'digestive cancer' (Goldfrank, 1998). Increased deaths from stomach cancer (3 versus 0.6 expected) occurred in a group of 74 persons exposed to TCDD in an industrial accident (Thiess et al, 1982). K) BREAST CARCINOMA

1) An increased incidence of breast cancer was seen in a group of Swedish women who consumed a diet high in fatty fish contaminated with persistent organochlorine compounds from the Baltic Sea, in relation to the general Swedish population and a comparison group from the west coast (Rylander & Hagmar, 1995).

## L) THYROID CARCINOMA

1) An increased incidence of thyroid cancer (RR = 4.6; n=2 cases) was seen in the youngest population segment 10 years after the Seveso accident, in which the 1976 blowout of a 2,4,5-trichlorophenol production reactor released TCDD over a large area near Seveso, Italy (Pesatori et al, 1993; Schardein, 2000).

# **3.21.5 ANIMAL STUDIES**

A) CARCINOMA

1) TCDD is a carcinogen in rats, mice and hamsters (EPA, 1994b). It induced hepatocellular carcinomas in female but not male rats (5).

2) TCDD and the furans PCDF and HCDF are also promoters for inducing skin tumors in hairless mice by the dermal route (Hebert et al, 1990).

a) It is the most potent known animal carcinogen and tumor promoter (Bingham et al, 2001; Xu et al, 1992). It is a potent hepatocarcinogen that initiates and promotes cancer (Baxter et al, 2000).

B) CARCINOGENICITY IN MICE -

1) In mice, TCDD induces tumors of the thyroid gland, lung, liver, lymphatics, skin, and subcutaneous tissue and induces thymic lymphomas (Huff et al, 1991; ILO, 1998; RTECS, 2001).

2) In mice, TCDD induced tumors of the thyroid gland, liver, and subcutaneous tissue, and induced thymic lymphomas (Huff et al, 1991). Thymic lymphomas were induced in both sexes of (C57BL/6J X C3Hf)F1 (B6C3) and (C57/BL/6J X BALB/c)F1 (B6C) hybrid mice when given in six intraperitoneal doses of 60 mcg/kg TCDD. Hepatocellular adenomas were increased in B6C3 but not B6C mice (Della Porta et al, 1987).

3) In a gavage study, TCDD induced hepatocellular carcinomas in both sexes and follicularcell thyroid adenomas in female mice (11).

4) TCDD induced fibrosarcomas in the integumentary system of female mice when applied dermally in acetone at a dose of 0.005 mcg, 3 days/week for 104 weeks (11).

5) RESULTS WITH RELATED COMPOUNDS: DICHLOROdibenzo-p-dioxin induced leukemias or lymphomas in male but not female mice (NCI, 1979).

C) CARCINOGENICITY IN RATS -

1) In rats, TCDD induced tumors of the liver, lung, oral/nasal cavities, kidneys, adrenal and thyroid glands (Huff et al, 1991; ILO, 1998; RTECS, 2001).

2) TCDD promoted ovarian tumor development in Sprague-Dawley rats after initiation with diethylnitrosamine (Davis et al, 2000).

3) Hepatocellular carcinomas, squamous cell carcinomas of the lung, hard palate, and nasal turbinates developed in rats ingesting 0.1 mcg/kg/day for 2 years. Tumors appeared only in rats manifesting other signs of toxicity, and the NOAEL was 0.001 mcg/kg/day.

Hepatocellular carcinomas were sex-specific in female rats only (Kociba et al, 1978). 4) This study was re-evaluated using current criteria for assigning scores to hepatocellular carcinomas in female rats from the original slides. The conclusion was that TCDD is still carcinogenic, but only one-third as potent as previously thought, with a NOAEL of 0.01 mcg/kg/day (Keenan et al, 1991).

5) A 38-percent incidence of neoplasms was seen in male rats fed TCDD at levels of 1 to 1,000 ppb in the diet (VanMiller et al, 1977).

6) In a gavage study, TCDD induced follicular-cell thyroid adenomas in male and neoplastic liver nodules in female Osborne-Mendel rats (11).

7) RESULTS WITH RELATED COMPOUNDS include:

a) A mixture of HEXAchlorodibenzo-p-dioxins induced hepatocellular carcinomas and adenomas in female but not male Osborne-Mendel rats at doses up to 5 mcg/kg/week for 104 weeks by gavage (5).

b) DICHLOROdibenzo-p-dioxin was not carcinogenic in Osborne-Mendel rats (NCI, 1979). D) CARCINOGENICITY IN HAMSTERS -

1) Squamous cell carcinomas developed in Syrian golden hamsters given a total dose of 600 mcg/kg TCDD by the subcutaneous or intraperitoneal route. Hamsters are the species most resistant to the acute effects of TCDD (Huff et al, 1991; Rao et al, 1988).

2) PROMOTING ACTIVITY results include:

a) TCDD is the most potent tumor promoter known; it increases cell turnover and fixes DNA defects (Baxter et al, 2000; Xu et al, 1992).

b) The degree of tumor promotion by TCDD in different strains of mice that differed in their aryl hydrocarbon receptor genotype was not directly related to the level of induction of cytochrome P450 1a. This result indicates that other genetic factors are involved in determining the extent of tumor promotion by TCDD (Beebe et al, 1995).

c) TCDD and dioxin-like compounds 2,3,4,7,8-pentachlorodibenzofuran (PCDF), and 1,2,3,4,7,8-hexachlorodibenzofuran (HCDF), were all potent promoters of MNNG-induced squamous cell papillomas in hairless mice via the dermal route (Hebert et al, 1990). d) 1,2,3,7,8-Pentachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (PeCDD) was nearly equipotent with TCDD in promoting induction of liver foci in female Sprague-Dawley rats initiated with nitrosamine. 2,3,4,7,8-Pentachlorodibenzofuran (PeCDF) and 3,3',4,4',5-pentachlorobiphenyl (PCB126) were approximately 10 percent as active as the dioxins (Flodstroem & Ahlborg, 1992).

# **3.22 GENOTOXICITY**

## 3.22.1 SUMMARY

A) TCDD is not directly genotoxic and usually produces negative results in most genotoxicity assays. However, the TCDD-aryl hydrocarbon receptor complex can bind to specific DNA enhancer sequences. This induces a pleiotropic sequence of genetic expression whose products may activate pro-mutagens.

# **3.22.2 DNA DAMAGE/REPAIR**

A) TCDD is not directly genotoxic and usually produces negative results in most genotoxicity assays, such as the Ames test (IARC, 1997; Schiestl et al, 1997). TCDD produces unscheduled DNA synthesis and DNA inhibition in humans, rats and mice (RTECS, 2001). TCDD induced DNA deletions in vivo in mouse embryo through intrachromosomal recombination (Schiestl et al, 1997).

B) Neither TCDD nor 1,2,3,7,8-pentachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (PeCDD) was covalently bound to DNA in liver and kidney DNA of rats administered 1 mcg/kg/week in corn oil by gavage for periods up to 6 months (Randerath et al, 1988).

C) A 7.5-fold increase in single-strand DNA breaks was reported in hepatocellular DNA from rats given a single dose of 100 mcg/kg TCDD (Wahba et al, 1988).

D) TCDD is not directly genotoxic, but it binds to the aryl hydrocarbon receptor.

1) The TCDD-aryl hydrocarbon receptor complex can bind to DNA at specific DNA enhancer sequences (Hannah et al, 1986). This induces a pleiotropic sequence of genetic expression leading to activation of pro-mutagens and oncogenes (Puga et al, 1992; Matsumura, 1992; Tullis et al, 1992) Whitlock et al, 1982).

2) The various gene products induced by TCDD may produce secondary effects, such as changes in hormone levels, which in turn lead to other physiological changes (EPA, 1994b).

# **3.22.3 MUTAGENICITY**

A) Studies show TCDD has little if any potential for mutagenic activity (Hayes & Laws, 1991). TCDD was reported to be mutagenic in mouse lymphoma cells, but was inactive in bacterial mutagenicity assays and in rodents in vivo (Huff et al, 1991).

B) TCDD was positive for mutations in Escherichiae coli and Salmonella typhimurium and Saccharomyces cerevisiae, as well as in mouse lymphocytes (RTECS, 2001). However, early reports of mutagenicity in S. typhimurium were not reproducible (Hayes & Laws, 1991).

# **3.22.4 CHROMOSOME ABERRATIONS**

A) TCDD has been inactive for inducing sister chromatid exchanges in several test systems. 1) Neither sister chromatid exchanges nor chromosome aberrations were increased in peripheral lymphocytes of Rhesus monkeys fed 25 ppt TCDD in the diet for 4 years (Lim et al, 1987).

2) Sister chromatid exchanges were not increased in liver cells of male Han/Wistar rats after exposure to TCDD for 2 weeks (Mustonen et al, 1989).

3) No increases in sister chromatid exchanges were seen in male C57Bl/6 mice given up to 2.4 mcg/kg TCDD in the diet for 8 weeks (Lamb et al, 1981a).

4) No increases in sister chromatid exchanges occurred in either C57Bl/6J (high-affinity aryl hydrocarbon receptor) or DBA/2J (low-affinity receptor) mice after receiving a single intraperitoneal injection of TCDD at doses as high as 150 mcg/kg (Meyne et al, 1985).

B) TCDD has been inactive for inducing chromosome aberrations in several test systems. 1) No evidence of chromosome aberrations was seen in liver cells of C57Bl/6 mice given intraperitoneal doses of TCDD up to 150 mcg/kg (Brooks et al, 1988).

2) Neither C57Bl/6J (high-affinity aryl hydrocarbon receptor) nor DBA/2J (low-affinity receptor) mice showed increases in bone marrow chromosome aberrations or micronuclei after receiving a single intraperitoneal injection of TCDD at doses as high as 150 mcg/kg (Meyne et al, 1985).

C) TCDD has not induced micronuclei in rodents in vivo (Huff et al, 1991).

# **3.22.5 OTHER**

## A) GENOTOXIC EFFECTS

1) Both TCDD and its bromo analog TBrDD were active in transforming peritoneal macrophages in NMR1 mice. TCDD was 7 times more active than TBrDD (Massa et al, 1992).

2) TCDD was active in transforming C3H/10T 1/2 mouse cells in culture at a concentration of 40 pM. On a molar basis, it was 10,000 times more potent than 12-O-

tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (a model promoter). The primary mode of action of TCDD was promotion rather than initiation of the transformation process (Abernethy et al, 1985). The in vitro promoting activity of TCDD was inhibited by the antioxidants ascorbic acid plus alpha-tocopherol (Wolfle & Marquardt, 1996).

# **3.23 OTHER**

## **3.23.1 ACUTE EFFECTS**

#### A) CACHEXIA

1) One effect of exposure to TCDD is cachexia. It may suppress the formation of hunger signals, possibly through serotonergic mechanism. Diminished food intake and progressive weight loss are consequences of this (Bingham et al, 2001). Death may occur after a period of wasting (Baxter et al, 2000).

## **3.23.3 ANIMAL STUDIES**

A) ANIMAL STUDIES

1) CACHEXIA

a) TCDD produces a wasting syndrome, which is the ultimate cause of death in several species of animals (Zenz, 1994). The basis of the wasting syndrome is unknown.
b) Rats given 3 or 10 mcg/kg/day TCDD for 91 days developed wasting syndrome (Ivens et al, 1993). Death from acute exposure to TCDD is delayed by 2 to 6 weeks in experimental animals (Zenz, 1994).

# 4.0 MEDICAL SURVEILLANCE/LABORATORY

## 4.1 MONITORING PARAMETERS/LEVELS

## 4.1.1 SUMMARY

A) It is difficult and expensive to measure dioxins in human tissue specimens. The current analytical techniques involve gas chromatography and mass spectrometry.B) Liver function tests, CBC, prothrombin time (INR - International Normalized Ratio), serum lipids and uroporphyrins should be obtained in acute exposure. Electrodiagnostic

studies such as EMG and nerve conduction velocity may be useful in detecting subclinical neuropathy.

## 4.1.2 SERUM/BLOOD

## A) BLOOD/SERUM CHEMISTRY

1) Monitor liver function tests and serum lipids (Hayes, 1982).

2) Serum dioxin concentrations measured in 1987 were similar in veterans with and without Vietnam duty in 1967 to 1968 (4.1 and 4.2 ng/L, respectively) (Baselt, 2000).

3) However, plasma TCDD levels measured in Seveso in 1992-1993, following the 1976 blowout of a 2,4,5-trichlorophenol production reactor released TCDD over large area, showed that those with the highest exposure had the highest levels (mean, 53.2 ppt), and levels decreased with decreasing exposure, with means of 11.0 ppt down to 4.9 ppt for unexposed (Landi et al, 1998).

4) Serum TCDD levels of the highest known occupationally exposed group ranged from <20 pg/g to >148 pg/g (ppt) (Halperin et al, 1995).

5) Serum TCDD levels were as high as 56,000 ppt in persons acutely exposed in the Seveso accident who did not develop chloracne. These are the highest serum levels ever measured, but there were no apparent adverse clinical effects in this group (Mocarelli et al, 1991). 6) Average blood levels of dioxins and dioxin-like substances were similar in Europe and North America; total TEQ (TCDD equivalents) was 42 pg/g (42 ppt) in German subjects and 41 pg TEQ/g in US subjects (Schecter, 1991).

7) Blood levels of 2,3,7,8-TCDD correlated with adipose tissue levels in fasted Vietnam veterans. Although blood analysis was less invasive than a fat biopsy, 300 to 400 mL of blood were needed for the analysis (Kahn et al, 1988).

8) Serum TCDD levels are an accurate 'surrogate' for steady-state levels in human adipose tissue from low-level exposures (Patterson et al, 1988). Serum levels may not be predictive of the severity of clinical effects, however (Mocarelli et al, 1991).

9) PERSISTENT BLOOD LEVELS - Significant serum dioxin levels were measured in a chemist 35 years after exposure. In this single case, the serum level was 18 ppt, compared with a mean level of 5 ppt in the general population (Schecter & Ryan, 1992).

B) HEMATOLOGIC

1) Monitor CBC.

C) COAGULATION STUDIES

1) Monitor prothrombin time (International Normalized Ratio).

# 4.1.3 URINE

A) URINARY LEVELS

1) 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) can be detected in urine (Baselt, 1997). Dioxin is a contaminant of some preparations of 2,4,-D.

2) Monitoring urinary uroporhyrins may be of value after substantial exposure. Uroporphyrin output reached 2.23 mg/24 hours in workers exposed to TCDD who then developed porphyria; excretion of delta aminolevulinic acid increased (Hayes, 1982).
3) Ratios of urinary caffeine metabolites are a measure of induction of cytochrome P-4501A2, a genetically determined response to TCDD exposure (Halperin et al, 1995).
4) An increase in urinary uroporphyrins and coproporphyrins is an early sign of porphyria cutanea tarda (Bleiberg et al, 1964).

# 4.1.4 BIOLOGICAL MONITORING

A) ACGIH BEI Values for CAS1746-01-6 (ACGIH, 2004): 1) Not Listed

# **4.1.5 OTHER**

# A) OTHER

## 1) ADIPOSE LEVELS

a) TCDD accumulates in fat stores, and is detectable in adipose tissue (Harbison, 1998). However, fat biopsies are not routinely useful. Analysis of Vietnam veterans showed levels from 0 to 13 ppt, which were not different from unexposed controls. Adipose tissue samples from Canada showed random levels of 4 to 130 ppt.

b) Average body burdens in humans from background environmental exposure are 40 to 60 pg TEQ (TCDD equivalents) per gram lipid, including all dioxins, furans, and polychlorinated biphenyls. This is equivalent to approximately 40 to 60 ppt, or 9 ng/kg, from an average daily intake of 3 to 6 pg TEQ/kg/day. Body burdens of dioxins and chlorobenzodifurans increase with age (EPA, 1994b).

c) In a 10-year follow-up of veterans of Operation Ranch Hand, the half-life of TCDD was estimated to be 8.7 years; the half-life was directly related to the amount of body fat, but not with age or relative changes in percent of body fat (Michalek et al, 1996).

d) Median half-life for elimination of TCDD in occupationally exposed persons was estimated to be 7.2 years in a one-compartment, first-order kinetic model (Fleschjanys et al, 1996).

e) Body burdens of dioxins and dioxin-like substances associated with onset of various clinical effects in humans are (EPA, 1994b):

Estimated Body Burden (	(ng/kg)	Effect
9		" Background " level
14		Decreased testis size
14 to 110		Altered glucose tolerance
45 to 3,000		Chloracne
83		Decreased testosterone
109 to 7,000		Cancer

f) The highest occupational exposures to TCDD measured so far were in nine chemical production workers, who had an average concentration in adipose tissue of 246 ppt, compared with 8.7 ppt in unexposed employees at the same plant (Patterson et al, 1989).g) Levels of TCDD found in 27 residents of South Vietnam measured in 1989 ranged from 0.3 to 49.6 ppt in adipose tissue. This would have been approximately 20 years after peak exposure to TCDD in Agent Orange. Levels of other dioxin and furan isomers paralleled TCDD in this population (Verger et al, 1994).

h) Cumulative exposure over time is given by the area under the time-concentration curve for dioxin in fat (Bois & Eskenazi, 1994).

2) ELECTROPHYSIOLOGICAL TESTING

a) Electrodiagnostic studies such as EMG and nerve conduction velocity may be useful in detecting subclinical neuropathy.

# 4.3 METHODS

# A) OTHER

1) It is difficult and expensive to detect dioxin in human tissue specimens. The average cost is between \$1,500 and \$2,500 per sample (Schecter et al, 1994).

**B) MULTIPLE ANALYTICAL METHODS** 

1) Because levels are very low, a very sensitive and specific method is required. 2,4dichlorophenol can be detected in plasma and urine using flame-ionization chromatography with on-color methylation (Baselt, 1997).

2) The current analytical techniques involve gas chromatography and mass spectrometry (Raisonen et al, 1981). High resolution gas chromatography with mass spectrometry has a lower detection limit of 0.6 ppt on a lipid-weight basis (Johnson et al, 1992).

3) Cloud-point extraction of serum using Triton X-100 shows promise as a cleaner and easier way to analyze polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins (Sirimanne et al, 1996).

4) There is a large margin of error in measuring levels of dioxin less than 100 ppt; error may range from 20 to 50 percent (Verger et al, 1994). This concentration range would include almost all biological samples except those from patients with very high acute exposures.5) An enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for TCDD using monoclonal antibodies has a lower detection limit of 0.5 ng (Stanker et al, 1987).

# **5.0 CASE REPORTS**

A) CHRONIC EFFECTS 1) ADULT a) Three men involved in the synthesis of TCDD developed chloracne, hyperpigmentation, and hypercholesterolemia despite observing appropriate precautions. Two developed hirsutism, anorexia, headaches, and fatigue 2 years after the episode (Oliver, 1975). These are the only known cases of significant exposure to pure TCDD.

2) PEDIATRIC

a) A 6-year-old girl playing in a Missouri horse arena sprayed with TCDD-contaminated motor oil developed self-limited epistaxis, severe hemorrhagic cystitis, and GI complaints. Samples taken from the arena soil contained 31.8 to 33 ppm of TCDD (Beale et al, 1977).

# 6.0 TREATMENT

## 6.1 LIFE SUPPORT

A) Support respiratory and cardiovascular function.

#### **6.4 MONITORING**

A) It is difficult and expensive to measure dioxins in human tissue specimens. The current analytical techniques involve gas chromatography and mass spectrometry.B) Liver function tests, CBC, prothrombin time (INR - International Normalized Ratio),

serum lipids and uroporphyrins should be obtained in acute exposure. Electrodiagnostic studies such as EMG and nerve conduction velocity may be useful in detecting subclinical neuropathy.

#### **6.5 INHALATION EXPOSURE**

## **6.5.1 DECONTAMINATION**

A) DECONTAMINATION: Move patient from the toxic environment to fresh air. Monitor for respiratory distress. If cough or difficulty in breathing develops, evaluate for hypoxia, respiratory tract irritation, bronchitis, or pneumonitis.

B) OBSERVATION: Carefully observe patients with inhalation exposure for the development of any systemic signs or symptoms and administer symptomatic treatment as necessary.

C) INITIAL TREATMENT: Administer 100% humidified supplemental oxygen, perform endotracheal intubation and provide assisted ventilation as required. Administer inhaled beta adrenergic agonists if bronchospasm develops. Exposed skin and eyes should be flushed with copious amounts of water.

#### 6.6 DERMAL EXPOSURE

#### **6.6.1 DECONTAMINATION**

A) DERMAL DECONTAMINATION

1) Remove contaminated clothing and wash exposed area extremely thoroughly with soap and water. A physician may need to examine the area if irritation or pain persists after washing.

**B) PERSONNEL PROTECTION** 

1) Personnel involved in washing patients should wear gloves and avoid contact with contaminated clothing.

#### 6.6.2 TREATMENT

## A) CHLORINE ACNE

1) Chloracne is generally resistant to modes of therapy used for acne vulgaris. Tetracyclines, topically or orally, have proven useful in some cases. Acne surgery and dermabrasion have been beneficial in severe cases. Topical retinoic acid 0.05 to 0.3% for up to 10 months may be useful (Plewig, 1971).

B) Treatment should include recommendations listed in the ORAL EXPOSURE section when appropriate.

## 6.7 EYE EXPOSURE

## **6.7.1 DECONTAMINATION**

A) Remove contact lenses and irrigate exposed eyes with copious amounts of room temperature 0.9% saline or water for at least 15 minutes. If irritation, pain, swelling, lacrimation, or photophobia persist after 15 minutes of irrigation, an ophthalmologic examination should be performed.

#### 6.8 ORAL/PARENTERAL EXPOSURE

#### 6.8.1 PREVENTION OF ABSORPTION/PREHOSPITAL

#### A) SUMMARY

1) Most exposures are chronic. Routine gastrointestinal decontamination is not indicated.

#### 6.8.2 PREVENTION OF ABSORPTION

#### A) SUMMARY

1) Most exposures are chronic. Routine gastrointestinal decontamination is not indicated. In the unlikely event of acute ingestion administer activated charcoal.

#### **B) ACTIVATED CHARCOAL**

1) CHARCOAL ADMINISTRATION

a) Consider administration of activated charcoal after a potentially toxic ingestion (Chyka & Seger, 1997). Administer charcoal as an aqueous slurry; most effective when administered within one hour of ingestion.

#### 2) CHARCOAL DOSE

a) Use a minimum of 240 milliliters of water per 30 grams charcoal (FDA, 1985). Optimum dose not established; usual dose is 25 to 100 grams in adults and adolescents; 25 to 50 grams in children aged 1 to 12 years (or 0.5 to 1 gram/kilogram body weight) ; and 1

gram/kilogram in infants up to 1 year old (USP DI, 2002; Chyka & Seger, 1997).

1) Routine use of a cathartic with activated charcoal is NOT recommended as there is no evidence that cathartics reduce drug absorption and cathartics are known to cause adverse effects such as nausea, vomiting, abdominal cramps, electrolyte imbalances and occasionally hypotension (Barceloux et al, 1997).

#### b) ADVERSE EFFECTS/CONTRAINDICATIONS

1) Complications: emesis, aspiration (Chyka & Seger, 1997). Aspiration may be complicated by acute respiratory failure, ARDS or bronchiolitis obliterans (Pollack et al, 1981; Harris & Filandrinos, 1993; (Elliot et al, 1989) Harsh, 1986; (Rau et al, 1988; Golej et al, 2001; Graff et al, 2002). Refer to the ACTIVATED CHARCOAL/TREATMENT management for further information.

2) Contraindications: unprotected airway, gastrointestinal tract not anatomically intact, therapy may increase the risk or severity of aspiration; ingestion of most hydrocarbons (Chyka & Seger, 1997).

## 6.8.3 TREATMENT

A) SUPPORTIVE CARE

1) Treatment is symptomatic and supportive following acute exposure.

B) MONITORING OF PATIENT

1) Monitor liver function tests serially in confirmed exposures.

C) CHLORINE ACNE

1) Chloracne is generally resistant to modes of therapy used for acne vulgaris. Acne surgery and dermabrasion have been the most beneficial in severe cases. Topical retinoic acid 0.05 to 0.3% for up to 10 months may be useful (Plewig, 1971). Tetracyclines may be used to treat secondary pustular follicles.

D) CORTICOSTEROID

1) In acute exposures, it may be beneficial to administer dexamethasone, as this appears to inhibit dioxin-mediated toxicity in animal studies (Taylor et al, 1992).

E) INJURY DUE TO CHEMICAL EXPOSURE

1) VIETNAM REGISTRY - An Agent Orange registry has been established for any Vietnam veteran expressing a concern of exposure to herbicides. Registry includes a thorough history, physical exam, follow-up over several years. Call toll-free 800-424-5402.

# 7.0 RANGE OF TOXICITY

## 7.1 SUMMARY

A) Cumulative oral doses of 100 mcg/kg are estimated to be the minimum toxic dose.

B) Dermal exposure to soil concentrations of greater than 100 ppm are likely to produce chloracne.

#### 7.3 MINIMUM LETHAL EXPOSURE

A) ACUTE

1) One review article by Reggiani (1978) reports a minimum lethal dose of 1 mcg/kg (Schardein, 2000).

2) It is estimated that human lethal doses are greater than 100 mcg/kg (Neal, 1983).

## 7.4 MAXIMUM TOLERATED EXPOSURE

A) The maximum tolerated human exposure to this agent has not been delineated.B) A cumulative dose of 100 mcg/kg is estimated as the minimum toxic dose, based on extrapolation of data from TCDF-contaminated cooking oil in Japan (Stevens, 1981).C) ROUTE OF EXPOSURE

1) DERMAL -

a) Assuming daily exposure, it appears that 10 to 30 ppm in oil and 100 to 3,000 ppm in soil-water mixtures are necessary to produce objective effects. Soil concentrations likely to produce chloracne with daily contact probably exceed 100 ppm (Dunagin, 1984).

b) The lowest published toxic dose for a human (dermal route) is 107 mcg/kg (Lewis, 2000; RTECS , 2002).

2) INHALATION -

a) Dioxin has a low vapor pressure  $(1.7 \times 10(-6) \text{ mmHg})$ . Inhalation of 70 ng/human/day is the FDA-suggested "no effect" level. If the level in dirt/dust is 1 ppb, the amount inhaled has been calculated as 1.4 pg/day.

3) AIRBORNE -

a) SEVESO, ITALY INCIDENT - A plant producing trichlorophenol in Seveso, Italy, inadvertently overheated, creating a cloud of dioxin (TCDD) containing 650 to 1700 grams (35,000 ppt) (Reggiani, 1978).

1) Children and adults directly exposed to airborne dust complained of nausea, skin redness, and swelling. Others developed chloracne, which rapidly and spontaneously healed, subclinical peripheral neurological impairment, and liver enzyme abnormalities.

2) The highest average soil levels were 584 ppb.

4) ORAL -

a) Cumulative oral doses of 100 mcg/kg are estimated as the minimum toxic dose. The World Health Organization recommended in 1998 that the tolerable daily intake of TCDD not exceed 4 picograms/kg (Birnbaum & Slezak, 1999).

5) SOIL LEVELS -

a) Soil levels of 1 ppb are estimated to increase the risk of developing cancer by 1 in 1 million (MMWR, 1984).

b) Soil concentrations likely to produce chloracne with daily contact probably exceed 100 ppm (Dunagin, 1984).

c) A 6-year-old girl playing in a Missouri horse arena sprayed with dioxin (TCDD)contaminated motor oil developed self-limited epistaxis, severe hemorrhagic cystitis, and gastrointestinal complaints. Samples taken from the soil contained 31.8 to 33 ppm of dioxin (TCDD) (Beale et al, 1977).

D) ANIMAL DATA

1) Hen pheasants injected with graded single doses of dioxin (TCDD) (6.25, 25 or 100 mcg/kg) had delayed-onset body weight loss and mortality, classic signs of the wasting syndrome (Nosek et al, 1992).

a) The lowest single dose of dioxin (TCDD) to produce this effect was 25 mcg/kg.

b) When hen pheasants were treated weekly with lower doses of dioxin (TCDD) (0.01 to 1.0 mcg/kg/wk) for 10 weeks, signs of the wasting syndrome and mortality were also produced. c) The lowest cumulative dioxin (TCDD) dose required to produce the wasting syndrome, using a weekly dosing regimen, was 10 mcg/kg. At this dosing regimen, egg production by hens treated with a cumulative dioxin (TCDD) dose of 10 mcg/kg was reduced, as was egg hatchability.

2) RATS - The maximum tolerated dose of TCDD in rats in a 91-day subchronic gavage study was 0.1 pg/kg/day (Ivens et al, 1993).

3) RATS - The maximum tolerated dose of TCDD in rats was 0.01 mcg/kg/day when given 5 days/week for 13 weeks (Kociba et al, 1976).

4) TCDD and two dioxin-like compounds, 2,3,4,7,8-pentachlorodibenzofuran (PCDF), and 1,2,3,4,7,8-hexachlorodibenzofuran (HCDF), were evaluated for relative dermal toxicity in 20-week subchronic skin painting studies in hairless mice (Hebert et al, 1990).

a) Based on dermatotoxic effects and changes in body and organ weights, the last two compounds were relatively more toxic than their acute Toxicity Equivalency Factor (TEF) values would indicate.

b) TEF values may underestimate the risk from repeated low-dose exposures, at least for these compounds.

E) OTHER

1) Where TCDD has been assigned a Toxicity Equivalency Factor (TEF) of 1.0 (on a scale of 0 to 1.0, with 1.0 being the most toxic), the other chlorinated dibenzodioxins and chlorinated dibenzofurans have TEFs ranging from 0 to 0.5 (Freeman, 1998).

2) TCDD is the most toxic of all the known dioxins. Of the 210 possible positional congeners, only 7 chlorinated dibenzodioxins and 10 chlorinated dibenzofurans are believed to have TCDD-like activity. These all have chlorine in the 2,3,7 and 8 positions (EPA, 1994a; EPA, 1994b; Freeman, 1998).

3) The EPA's Exposure Estimation for Dioxin-Like Compounds mainly deals with chlorinated dibenzodioxins and, by extension, chlorinated dibenzofurans and other dioxin-

like compounds. Analogous brominated dioxins and furans, and certain polychlorinated biphenyls, are known to have dioxin-like toxicity but have not yet been assigned TEFs (EPA, 1994a).

4) Only 11 of the 209 possible polychlorinated biphenyl congeners are thought to have dioxin-like activity. These have at least four chlorine substituents (EPA, 1994a).

## 7.5 TOXIC SERUM/PLASMA/BLOOD CONCENTRATIONS

## A) TOXIC CONCENTRATION LEVELS

1) OCCUPATIONAL

a) Heavily exposed Vietnam veterans had blood levels of 2,3,7,8-TCDD exceeding 15 pg/g 15 to 20 years after exposure (Kahn et al, 1988).

b) Median TCDD levels of 8 pg/g plasma lipid (range, 2 to 13) were detected among 11 Swedish men who ate fish almost daily (Svensson et al, 1991). Lower levels were reported among moderate-intake and nonconsumer groups. Clinical correlations were not studied.

## 7.6 TOXICITY INFORMATION

# 7.6.1 TOXICITY VALUES

A) References: Bingham et al, 2001 HSDB, 2002 Lewis, 2000 NTP, 2001;) Pohjanvirta et al, 1993 RTECS, 2002 Verschueren, 2001

- 1) LD50 (ORAL) DOG:
- a) 1 mcg/kg
- b) 100-200 mcg/kg (HSDB, 2002)
- 2) LD50 (ORAL) GUINEA\_PIG:
- a) 500 ng/kg
- b) 0.6 mcg/kg (HSDB, 2002)
- 3) LD50 (INTRAPERITONEAL) HAMSTER:
- a) >3 mg/kg -- changes in thymus weight and serum composition, weight loss
- 4) LD50 (ORAL) HAMSTER:
- a) 1157 mcg/kg -- gastrointestinal changes, weight loss, changes in serum composition
- b) 1157-5051 mcg/kg (HSDB, 2002)
- 5) LD50 (INTRAPERITONEAL) MOUSE:
- a) 120 mcg/kg
- 6) LD50 (ORAL) MOUSE:
- a) 114 mcg/kg -- convulsions, cardiac changes, mydriasis
- 7) LD50 (ORAL) PRIMATE:
- a) 2 mcg/kg
- b) Female, <70.0 mcg/kg (HSDB, 2002)
- 8) LD50 (INTRAPERITONEAL) RABBIT:
- a) 252 mcg/kg
- 9) LD50 (ORAL) RABBIT:
- a) 115 mcg/kg
- b) 10 mcg/kg (HSDB, 2002)
- 10) LD50 (SKIN) RABBIT:
- a) 275 mcg/kg
- 11) LD50 (INTRAPERITONEAL) RAT:
- a) 24,600 ng/kg
- 12) LD50 (ORAL) RAT:
- a) 20 mcg/kg
- b) Male, 22.0 mcg/kg (HSDB, 2002)
- c) Sprague-Dawley, 43 mcg/kg (Bingham et al, 2001)

d) Female, 45.0 mcg/kg (HSDB, 2002)

e) >7200 mcg/kg -- H/W strain (Pohjanvirta et al, 1993)

f) Female, 9.8 mcg/kg -- L-E strain (Pohjanvirta et al, 1993)

g) Male, 17.7 mcg/kg -- L-E strain (Pohjanvirta et al, 1993)

13) LDLo - (INTRAPERITONEAL) CHICKEN:

a) 25 mcg/kg -- weight loss, changes in food intake behavior and metabolism

14) LDLo - (ORAL) CHICKEN:

a) 25 mcg/kg -- dyspnea, weight loss

15) LDLo - (SKIN) MOUSE:

a) 80 mcg/kg -- changes in gastrointestinal system

16) TD - (ORAL) MOUSE:

a) 1 mcg/kg for 2Y-Intermittent -- equivocal tumorigenic agent by RTECS criteria, tumors of the liver and thyroid

b) 36 mcg/kg for 52W-Intermittent -- neoplastic, tumors of the lung, thorax, liver 17) TD - (SKIN) MOUSE:

a) 80 mcg/kg -- equivocal tumorigenic agent by RTECS criteria, tumors of the skin and appendages, tumors at site of application

18) TD - (ORAL) RAT:

a) 1 mcg/kg for 2Y-Intermittent -- equivocal tumorigenic agent by RTECS criteria, tumors of the liver and thyroid

b) 27 mcg/kg for 65W-Continuous-- equivocal tumorigenic agent by RTECS criteria, tumors of the liver and thyroid

c) 73 mcg/kg for 2Y-Continuous -- carcinogenic by RTECS criteria, tumors of the liver

d) 190 mcg/kg for 95W-Continuous -- equivocal tumorigenic agent by RTECS criteria, tumors of the liver and kidney

e) 137 mcg/kg for 65W-Continuous -- equivocal tumorigenic agent by RTECS criteria, tumors of the liver and kidney

f) 328 mcg/kg for 78W-Continuous -- equivocal tumorigenic agent by RTECS criteria, tumors of the lung, thorax

19) TDLo - (ORAL) GUINEA\_PIG:

a) 5 mcg/kg for 5W- Intermittent -- changes in the bladder, kidney, ureter and endocrine system, death

b) 1600 ng/kg for 8W- Intermittent -- changes in thymus weight, weight loss

c) 441 ng/kg for 90D- Continuous -- changes in liver and thymus weights, weight loss 20) TDLo - (ORAL) HAMSTER:

a) Female, 2 mcg/kg at 11D of pregnancy -- reduced weight gain in offspring

b) Female, 18 mcg/kg at 9D of pregnancy -- fetal death

c) 600 mcg/kg for 3D- Intermittent -- changes in the liver, oxidoreductases and transferases 21) TDLo - (SKIN) HUMAN:

a) 107 mcg/kg -- allergic dermatitis

22) TDLo - (INTRAPERITONEAL) MOUSE:

a) Female, 20 mcg/kg at 11D of pregnancy -- craniofacial developmental abnormalities

b) Female, 25 mcg/kg at 7-11D of pregnancy -- fetotoxicity, craniofacial developmental abnormalities

c) 120 mcg/kg for 12W-Intermittent -- changes in liver and thymus weight, changes in cell count (unspecified)

d) 180 mcg/kg for 6W-Intermittent -- changes in liver weight and serum composition, transaminases

23) TDLo - (ORAL) MOUSE:

a) 9260 ng/kg for 4W-Intermittent -- changes in liver and thymus weight, changes in erythrocyte count

b) Female, 1 mcg/kg at 10D of pregnancy -- developmental abnormalities of the urogenital system

c) Female, 9 mcg/kg at 12D of pregnancy -- craniofacial (including mouth and tongue) developmental abnormalities

d) Female, 12 mcg/kg at 10-13D of pregnancy -- post-implantation mortality, fetal death e) Female, 20 mcg/kg at 14D of pregnancy and 3D after birth -- reduced weight gain in offspring

f) 52 mcg/kg for 2Y-Intermittent -- carcinogenic by RTECS criteria, tumors of the liver, thyroid

g) Female, 235 mcg/kg at 28D prior to mating and 21D after birth -- immune and reticuloendothelial system developmental abnormalities

h) Female, 13,500 mg/kg at 6-14D of pregnancy -- developmental abnormalities of the endocrine system

i) 20 mcg/kg for 4W-Intermittent -- changes in thymus weight and endocrine system, decrease in cellular immune response

j) 336 mcg/kg for 8W-Continuous -- changes in leukocyte count, decrease in humoral immune response

k) 520 mcg/kg for 13W-Intermittent -- changes in the liver, death

1) 150 mcg/kg for 6W-Intermittent -- fatty liver degeneration, changes in thymus weight and serum composition

m) 588 mcg/kg for 14D-Intermittent -- changes in the weights of the liver, spleen and thymus

24) TDLo - (SKIN) MOUSE:

a) 97 mcg/kg for 13W-Intermittent -- hepatitis, changes in spleen, death

b) 62 mcg/kg for 2Y-Intermittent -- carcinogenic by RTECS criteria, tumors of the skin and appendages

25) TDLo - (SUBCUTANEOUS) MOUSE:

a) Female, 30 mcg/kg at 10D of pregnancy -- craniofacial (including mouth and tongue) developmental abnormalities

b) Female, 100 mcg/kg at 2D of pregnancy -- post-implantation mortality

c) Female, 100 mcg/kg at 10D of pregnancy -- craniofacial (including mouth and tongue) developmental abnormalities

d) Female, 250 mcg/kg at 7-16D of pregnancy -- fetal death, reduced litter size

e) Female, 250 mcg/kg at 7-16D of pregnancy -- craniofacial (including mouth and tongue), musculoskeletal, and urogenital developmental abnormalities

26) TDLo - (ORAL) PRIMATE:

a) Female, 2 mcg/mg at 12D of pregnancy -- abortion

b) Male, 107 mg/kg at 4Y prior to mating -- behavioral effects in offspring

c) Female, 163 ng/kg at 3.5Y prior to mating -- behavioral effects on offspring

d) Female, 92 ng/kg at 46W prior to mating and 17W after birth -- behavioral effects on offspring

e) Female, 123 ng/kg at 30W prior to mating and 17W after birth -- behavioral effects in offspring

f) 10 mcg/kg for 12D-Continuous -- ulceration or bleeding from the stomach, nutritional and metabolic changes, death

27) TDLo - (ORAL) RABBIT:

a) Female, 1 mcg/kg at 6-15D of pregnancy -- developmental abnormalities of the musculoskeletal system

b) Female, 10 mcg/kg at 6-15D of pregnancy -- pre-implantation mortality, abortion

c) Female, 2500 ng/kg at 6-15D of pregnancy -- post-implantation mortality, developmental abnormalities of the urogenital system

d) 80 mcg/kg for 8W-Intermittent -- decrease in cellular and humoral immune responses, death

28) TDLo - (INTRAPERITONEAL) RAT:

a) Female, 6 mcg/kg at 17D of pregnancy -- biochemical and metabolic effects on offspring

29) TDLo - (ORAL) RAT:

a) Female, 1 mcg/kg at 15D of pregnancy -- developmental abnormalities of endocrine system in offspring

b) Female, 1 mcg/kg at 15D of pregnancy -- physical effects in offspring

c) Female, 1 mcg/kg at 15D of pregnancy -- developmental abnormalities of urogenital system, fetal death

d) Female, 12 mcg/kg at 10D of pregnancy -- cytological changes to embryo, developmental abnormalities of urogenital system

e) Female, 20 mcg/kg at 1D prior to pregnancy -- affected uterus, cervix, vagina

f) 52 mcg/kg for 2Y-Intermittent -- carcinogenic by RTECS criteria, tumors of the liver, thyroid

g) Female, 1250 ng/kg at 6-15D of pregnancy -- fetal death, developmental abnormalities h) Female, 1270 ng/kg -- decreased fertility, developmental abnormalities of blood and lymphatic system

i) Female, 1500 ng/kg at 1-3D of pregnancy -- fetotoxicity, developmental abnormalities of urogenital system

j) 16 mcg/kg for 16W-Intermittent -- changes of the liver and urine composition, porphyrin including bile pigments

k) 30 mcg/kg for 30D-Intermittent -- changes in serum composition and platelet count, multiple enzyme effects

l) 120 mcg/kg for 3D-Intermittent -- changes of the liver and iron metabolism, hepatic microsomal mixed oxidase

m) 140 mcg/kg for 14D-Intermittent -- changes in clotting factors, erythrocyte count and platelet count

n) 450 ng/kg for 45W-Intermittent -- changes in urine composition

o) 6500 ng/kg for 13W-Intermittent -- changes in liver and thymus weights, pigmented or nucleated red blood cells

p) 7300 mg/kg for 2Y-Continuous -- hepatitis, changes in liver weight and urine composition

q) 164 mcg/kg for 78W-Continuous -- carcinogenic by RTECS criteria, tumors of the liver, lung and thorax

30) TDLo - (SUBCUTANEOUS) RAT:

a) Female, 5 mg/kg at 6-15D of pregnancy -- urogenital system abnormalities

b) Female, 2200 ng/kg at 19D of pregnancy and 21D after birth -- reduced weight gain in offspring

# 7.7 CALCULATIONS

A) AMBIENT CONVERSIONS

1) 1 mg/m(3) = 0.0759 ppm; 1 ppm = 13.17 mg/m(3) (in air at 25 degrees C and 760 mmHg) (ATSDR, 1998)

## 7.8 OTHER

A) OTHER

1) GENERAL

a) Risk estimates of cancer to humans exposed for their entire lifetime to soil contaminated with dioxin (and dibenzofurans) range from  $1 \times 10(-8)$  to  $3 \times 10(-7)$  (Eschenroeder et al, 1986). Calculations based on the Seveso incident indicate that the lifetime cancer risk does not appear to exceed 10(-5) (DiDomenico & Zapponi, 1986). In its final draft of May 2000, US EPA estimates the cancer risk as being as high as 1:100 to 1:1,000 from dioxin exposure; however, it also states that the actual risk may be lower ((EPA, 2000a); (EPA, 2001a)).

# **8.0 KINETICS**

#### **8.1 ABSORPTION**

A) SUMMARY

1) The pharmacokinetics of dioxins are poorly understood, particularly in humans (Hatch & Stein, 1986). The pharmacokinetics also vary by species (Bingham et al, 2001).

2) Bioavailability is generally unknown; it is difficult to determine absorbed doses from environmental sources (Hatch & Stein, 1986).

B) ORAL

1) Gastrointestinal absorption varies with the vehicle used. Dioxins are less well absorbed from aqueous soil suspensions than from oil or solvent mixtures.

2) There seems to be little interspecies difference in gastrointestinal absorption of dioxins, but absorption varies from one congener to another. The more stable congeners are absorbed to a greater extent (EPA, 1994b).

3) Preliminary data indicated a high level of gastrointestinal absorption from a corn oil vehicle (87 percent), based on data from one volunteer (Poiger & Schlatter, 1986). C) DERMAL

1) At high concentrations (26 ppm), 5 percent was absorbed through rat skin; at 1 ppm, less than 1 percent was absorbed (Poiger & Schlatter, 1980).

2) Of TCDD and several chlorodibenzofurans tested for dermal absorption in rats, 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzofuran (TCDF) was absorbed to the greatest extent in experimental animals given 0.1 mcmol/kg (Brewster et al, 1989).

#### **8.2 DISTRIBUTION**

#### **8.2.1 DISTRIBUTION SITES**

#### A) TISSUE/FLUID SITES

1) Dioxin is lipophilic and lipid-soluble, so it is found mainly in adipose tissue, skin, liver, pancreas and breast milk (Baselt, 2000; Baxter et al, 2000; Bingham et al, 2001). It is preferentially stored in adipose tissue and may be released systematically when an individual loses weight (Flowers et al, 1981).

2) TCDD is absorbed by the lymphatic system and is transported by chylomicrons and lipoproteins (Hayes & Laws, 1991).

3) TCDD and several chlorodibenzofurans were distributed mainly to the liver, adipose tissue, skin and muscle tissue in rats (Brewster et al, 1989).

#### **8.3 METABOLISM**

#### 8.3.1 METABOLISM SITES AND KINETICS

A) GENERAL

1) Dioxin is not known to be metabolized in man (Baselt, 2000). It may be metabolized by a detoxification process, possibly by cytochrome P450-associated mixed-function oxidases (Hayes & Laws, 1991).

#### **8.3.2 METABOLITES**

#### A) GENERAL

1) Evidence indicates that TCDD may be slowly metabolized in man to more polar metabolites (Wendling & Orth, 1990).

2) Hydroxylated metabolites of TCDD include 2-hydroxy-3,7,8-trichlorodibenzo-p-dioxin, 2-hydroxy-1,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin, 1-hydroxy-2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin, other hydroxylated chlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and diphenyl ethers, and 4,5-dichlorocatechol (Hayes & Laws, 1991).

## **8.4 EXCRETION**

#### **8.4.2 FECES**

A) Excretion occurs mainly through the feces, probably by direct intestinal elimination; this varies widely by species (Baselt, 2000; Hayes & Laws, 1991). Up to 78 percent was shown to be eliminated via feces in the adult monkey (Hayes & Laws, 1991).

1) Such fecal excretion (80 to 100 percent) occurs in most animal species, with only minor amounts of metabolites found in the urine. This has been shown in guinea pigs (Olson, 1986).

#### **8.5 ELIMINATION HALF-LIFE**

#### **8.5.1 PARENT COMPOUND**

#### A) GENERAL

1) The serum elimination half-life was calculated to be about 7.1 years (Baselt, 2000). Other estimates of the half-life in humans include approximately 7 years, 5 to 8 years or 5.98 to 11.3 years (Baxter et al, 2000; Bingham et al, 2001; MMWR, 1988; Pirkle et al, 1989). The half-life based on experimental data from one human volunteer was 5.8 years (Poiger & Schlatter, 1986).

2) The half-life in rats is 10 to 40 days (Reggiani, 1979). Another estimate was 30 days (Baselt, 2000). In monkeys, the half-life in adipose tissue was about 1 year (Bingham et al, 2001).

# 9.0 PHARMACOLOGY/TOXICOLOGY

## 9.2 TOXICOLOGIC MECHANISM

A) The mechanism(s) of action is (are) not clear (Hatch & Stein, 1986). Tetrachlorodibenzop-dioxin (TCDD) is the most potent isomer, followed by dibenzofurans, hexachlorobiphenyls, and tetrabromonaphthalenes.

1) Toxic dioxins and dioxin-like compounds consist of two or more aromatic rings in a planar configuration, with four lateral halogen atoms arranged in a 3- x 10-Angstrom rectangular box. Additional chlorine atoms may be present, and tend to decrease toxicity, presumably by increasing molecular thickness and interfering with binding to the receptor. B) TCDD binds to the aryl hydrocarbon receptor, releasing heatshock protein 90 (Baxter et al, 2000). The TCDD-receptor complex in conjunction with the aryl hydrocarbon nuclear translocator protein then enters the nucleus and interacts with dioxin response elements (Baxter et al, 2000; Hannah et al, 1986).

1) This results in the induction of pleiotropic expression of specific genes, including several cytochrome P450 genes, notably the CYP1A1 gene for cytochrome P-4501A1 (Baxter et al, 2000; EPA, 1994b). This ultimately affects intracellular calcium levels, cytokine expression and estrogen expression; these secondary effects, in turn, lead to other physiological changes (Baxter et al, 2000; EPA, 1994b).

2) Cytochrome P-4501A2 is also induced in rats, but to a lesser extent (Tritscher et al, 1992). In experimental animals, toxicity is related to the induction of cytochromes P-448 and P-450 (McKinney & McConnell, 1982).

C) The aryl hydrocarbon receptor exists in polymorphic forms (multiple genetic alleles). This indicates that different individuals may have different genetically-determined susceptibilities to TCDD and, by inference, other dioxins. This hypothesis has been borne out in studies on different mouse strains, whose susceptibility to TCDD parallels the differing aryl hydrocarbon receptor affinities for TCDD (EPA, 1994b).

1) For example, the mouse strain C56BL/6J is more sensitive to the acute lethal effects of TCDD, and to the induction of cytochrome P-450, than strain DBA/2J (Chapman & Schiller, 1985; Poland & Glover, 1975).

D) Cytochromes induced by TCDD are involved in the metabolism and activation of some genotoxins and carcinogens. Inducibility of cytochrome P-4501A1 is genetically controlled and polymorphic, and individuals who are high inducers are at increased risk for lung cancer (Rannug et al, 1995).

E) TCDD can also induce expression of various oncogenes, both in vivo and in vitro in rats and mice (Matsumura, 1992; Puga et al, 1992; Tullis et al, 1992). Oncogene expression may be independent of induction of cytochromes and could be directly responsible for the carcinogenic effects of TCDD.

F) Dioxin may produce chloracne and associated skin pathology by inducing hyperkeratinization through enhancement of terminal differentiation of epidermal basal cells, a process regulated at least in part by the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (Osborne & Greenlee, 1985).

G) Oxidative stress may be important in the manifestation of TCDD toxicity.

1) TCDD can induce superoxide formation in mouse peritoneal lavage cells (mainly macrophages). This induction is at least partially dependent on the aryl hydrocarbon receptor-TCDD complex (Alsharif et al, 1994).

2) Dioxin appears to inhibit hepatic selenium-dependent, but not selenium-independent, glutathione peroxidase in rats. Optimum dietary selenium seems to provide partial protection from the toxic effects of dioxin in rats (Hassan et al, 1985).

H) Dioxin-mediated changes in tumor necrosis factor pathways may be an important mechanism for acute toxicity (Taylor et al, 1992).

I) The aryl hydrocarbon receptor is also involved in mediating immunotoxicity in mice (Harper et al, 1993).

# **10.0 STANDARDS/LABELS**

## **10.1 STANDARDS**

## **10.1.1 WORKPLACE STANDARDS**

A) ACGIH TLV Values for CAS1746-01-6 (ACGIH, 2004):

1) Not Listed

B) OSHA PEL Values for CAS1746-01-6 (29 CFR 1910.1000, 2005):

1) Not Listed

C) NIOSH REL and IDLH Values for CAS1746-01-6 (NIOSH, 2003):

1) Listed as: 2,3,7,8-Tetrachloro-dibenzo-p-dioxin

2) REL:

a) TWA: Not Listed

b) STEL: Not Listed

c) Ceiling: Not Listed

d) Carcinogen Listing: (Ca) NIOSH considers this substance to be a potential occupational carcinogen (See Appendix A in the NIOSH Pocket Guide to Chemical Hazards).

e) Skin Designation: Not Listed

f) Note(s): See Appendix A

3) IDLH: Not Listed

D) Carcinogenicity Ratings for CAS1746-01-6 :

1) ACGIH (ACGIH, 2004): Not Listed

2) EPA (IRIS, 2004): Not Listed

3) IARC (IARC, 2004): 1 ; Listed as: 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-para-dioxin

a) 1 : The agent (mixture) is carcinogenic to humans. The exposure circumstance entails exposures that are carcinogenic to humans. This category is used when there is sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in humans. Exceptionally, an agent (mixture) may be placed in this category when evidence of carcinogenicity in humans is less than sufficient but there is sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in experimental animals and strong evidence in exposed humans that the agent (mixture) acts through a relevant mechanism of carcinogenicity.

4) NIOSH (NIOSH, 2003): Ca ; Listed as: 2,3,7,8-Tetrachloro-dibenzo-p-dioxin a) Ca : NIOSH considers this substance to be a potential occupational carcinogen (See Appendix A in the NIOSH Pocket Guide to Chemical Hazards).

5) MAK (DFG, 2002): Category 4 ; Listed as: 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin a) Category 4 : Substances with carcinogenic potential for which genotoxicity plays no or at most a minor part. No significant contribution to human cancer risk is expected provided the MAK value is observed. The classification is supported especially by evidence that increases in cellular proliferation or changes in cellular differentiation are important in the mode of action. To characterize the cancer risk, the manifold mechanisms contributing to carcinogenesis and their characteristic dose-time-response relationships are taken into consideration.

6) NTP (NTP, 2005): K ; Listed as: 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD), Dioxin a) K : KNOWN = Known to be a human carcinogen

# **11.0 PHYSICOCHEMICAL**

## **11.1 PHYSICAL PARAMETERS**

## **11.1.1 PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS**

A) Dioxin exists as colorless to white needles or crystals (Budavari, 2000; Lewis, 2000; NIOSH , 2002). It has no odor or warning characteristics (Bingham et al, 2001).

## **11.1.2 MOLECULAR WEIGHT**

A) 321.97

## **11.1.4 DENSITY**

## 11.1.4.4 TEMPERATURE AND/OR PRESSURE NOT LISTED

A) 1.827 g/mL (at 25 degrees C) (ATSDR, 1998)

## **11.2 CHEMICAL PARAMETERS**

## **11.2.2 REACTIVITY**

A) TCDD is considered relatively stable toward heat, acids, and alkalies (HSDB, 2002).

B) Combustion of TCP-contaminated 2,4,5-T can result in conversion to small amounts of TCDD (Tvers & Anderson, 1986).

C) Toxic chloride fumes are emitted when dioxin (TCDD) is heated to decomposition (Lewis, 2000).

## **11.2.3 SOLUBILITY**

A) IN WATER

- 1) Dioxin (TCDD) is only sparingly soluble in water at room temperature (Freeman, 1989):
- a) 2x10(-7) g/L (Freeman, 1989; IARC, 1977)
- b) 19.3 ng/L (at 20 degrees C) (Bingham et al, 2001)
- c) 0.2 ppb (Harbison, 1998; Hayes & Laws, 1991; NIOSH , 2002)
- d) 1.9x10(-5) mg/L (ATSDR, 1998)
- e) 1.93x10(-5) mg/L (at 25 degrees C) (Bingham et al, 2001; (EPA, 2000c))
- f) 7.9x10(-6) to 3.2x10(-4) mg/L (ATSDR, 1998)
- B) IN ORGANIC SOLVENTS
- 1) Solubility of dioxin (TCDD) in solvents (Freeman, 1989; HSDB, 2002):
- 1. Acetone: 0.11 g/L
- 2. Benzene: 0.57 g/L
- 3. Chlorobenzene: 0.72 g/L
- 4. Chloroform: 0.37 g/L
- 5. o-Dichlorobenzene: 1.4 g/L
- 6. Methanol: 0.01 g/L
- 7. n-Octanol: 0.05 g/L
- C) OTHER

1) Solubility of dioxin (TCDD) in lard oil: 0.04 g/L (Freeman, 1989; HSDB , 2002)

# **12.0 REFERENCES**

# **12.2 GENERAL BIBLIOGRAPHY**

 29 CFR 1910.1000: Occupational Safety and Health Administration - Limits for Air Contaminants. National Archives and Records Associations (NARA) and the Government Printing Office (GPO), Washington, DC. Final rules current as of Feb 3 , 2005.
 29 CFR 1910.119 - App. A: Occupational Safety and Health Administration - List of Highly Hazardous Chemicals, Toxics, and Reactives. National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) and the Government Printing Office (GPO), Washington, DC. Final rules current as of Feb 3 , 2005.

3. 40 CFR 261.33 e-f: Environmental Protection Agency - Discarded commercial chemical products, off-specification species, container residues, and spill residues thereof, Acutely Hazardous Wastes and Toxic Wastes. National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) and the Government Printing Office (GPO), Washington, DC. Final rules current as of Feb 3, 2005.

4. 40 CFR 302.4 - App. B: Environmental Protection Agency - List of Hazardous Substances and Reportable Quantities, Appendix B: Radionuclides. National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) and the Government Printing Office (GPO), Washington, DC. Final rules current as of Feb 3, 2005.

5. 40 CFR 302.4: Environmental Protection Agency - List of Hazardous Substances and Reportable Quantities. National Archives and Records Association (NARA) and the Government Printing Office (GPO), Washington, DC. Final rules current as of Feb 3, 2005.
6. 40 CFR 355 - App. B: Environmental Protection Agency - List of Extremely Hazardous Substances and Their Threshold Planning Quantities (CAS Number Order). National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) and the Government Printing Office (GPO), Washington, DC. Final rules current as of Feb 3, 2005.

7. 40 CFR 372.28: Environmental Protection Agency - Toxic Chemical Release Reporting, Community Right-To-Know, Lower thresholds for chemicals of special concern. National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) and the Government Printing Office (GPO). Washington, DC. Final rules current as of Feb 3, 2005. 8. 40 CFR 372.65: Environmental Protection Agency - Toxic Chemical Release Reporting, Community Right-To-Know, Chemicals and Chemical Categories to which this part applies. National Archives and Records Association (NARA) and the Government Printing Office (GPO), Washington, DC. Final rules current as of Feb 3, 2005.

9. 49 CFR 172.101 - App. B: Department of Transportation - Table of Hazardous Materials, Appendix B: List of Marine Pollutants. National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) and the Government Printing Office (GPO), Washington, DC. Final rules current as of Feb 3, 2005.

10. 49 CFR 172.101: Department of Transportation - Table of Hazardous Materials. National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) and the Government Printing Office (GPO), Washington, DC. Final rules current as of Feb 3, 2005.

11. 62 FR 58840: Notice of the National Advisory Committee for Acute Exposure Guideline Levels for Hazardous Substances - Proposed AEGL Values, Environmental Protection Agency, NAC/AEGL Committee. National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) and the Government Publishing Office (GPO), Washington, DC, 1997.

12. 65 FR 14186: Notice of the National Advisory Committee for Acute Exposure Guideline Levels for Hazardous Substances - Proposed AEGL Values, Environmental Protection Agency, NAC/AEGL Committee. National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) and the Government Publishing Office (GPO), Washington, DC, 2000.

13. 65 FR 39264: Notice of the National Advisory Committee for Acute Exposure Guideline Levels for Hazardous Substances - Proposed AEGL Values, Environmental Protection Agency, NAC/AEGL Committee. National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) and the Government Publishing Office (GPO), Washington, DC, 2000.

14. 65 FR 77866: Notice of the National Advisory Committee for Acute Exposure Guideline Levels for Hazardous Substances - Proposed AEGL Values, Environmental Protection Agency, NAC/AEGL Committee. National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) and the Government Publishing Office (GPO), Washington, DC, 2000.

15. 66 FR 21940: Notice of the National Advisory Committee for Acute Exposure Guideline Levels for Hazardous Substances - Proposed AEGL Values, Environmental Protection Agency, NAC/AEGL Committee. National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) and the Government Publishing Office (GPO), Washington, DC, 2001.

16. 67 FR 7164: Notice of the National Advisory Committee for Acute Exposure Guideline Levels for Hazardous Substances - Proposed AEGL Values, Environmental Protection Agency, NAC/AEGL Committee. National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) and the Government Publishing Office (GPO), Washington, DC, 2002.

17. 68 FR 42710: Notice of the National Advisory Committee for Acute Exposure Guideline Levels for Hazardous Substances - Proposed AEGL Values, Environmental Protection Agency, NAC/AEGL Committee. National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) and the Government Publishing Office (GPO), Washington, DC, 2003.

18. 69 FR 54144: Notice of the National Advisory Committee for Acute Exposure Guideline Levels for Hazardous Substances - Proposed AEGL Values, Environmental Protection Agency, NAC/AEGL Committee. National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) and the Government Publishing Office (GPO), Washington, DC, 2004.

19. ACGIH: 2004 Threshold Limit Values (TLVs(R)) for Chemical Substances and Physical Agents and Biological Exposure Indices (BEIs(R)), American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists, Cincinnati, OH, 2004.

20. AIHA: 2004 Emergency Response Planning Guidelines and Workplace Environmental Exposure Level Guides Handbook, American Industrial Hygiene Association, Fairfax, VA, 2004.

21. ATSDR: Toxicological Profile for Chlorinated Dibenzo-p-dioxins (CDDs), TP 104, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, US Dept of Health and Human Services, Atlanta, GA, 1998.

22. Abernethy DJ, Greenlee WF, & Huband JC: 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) promotes the transformation of C3H/10T1/2 cells. Carcinogenesis 1985; 6:651-653.

23. Alavanja MC, Merkle S, & Teske J: Mortality among forest and soil conservationists. Arch Environ Health 1989; 44:94-101.

24. Albanese RA: United States Air Force Personnel and Exposure to Herbicide Orange. USAFSAM-TR-88-3, (NTIS ADA 191985). National Technical Information Services (NTIS), 1988.

25. Alsharif NZ, Lawson T, & Shohs SJ: Oxidative stress induced by 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin is mediated by the aryl hydrocarbon (Ah) receptor complex. Toxicology 1994; 92:39-51.

26. Anon: Association of Selected Cancers with Service in the US Military in Vietnam, Govt Reports Announcements & Index (GRA&I), Issue 10. National Technical Information Services (NTIS), 1991.

27. Anon: Bioassay of a Mixture of 1,2,3,6,7,8-Hexachlorodibenzo-p-Dioxin and 1,2,3,7,8,9-Hexachlorodibenzo-p-Dioxin (Gavage) for Possible Carcinogenicity, Govt Reports Announcements & Index (GRA&I), Issue 05. National Technical Information Services (NTIS), 1981.

28. Anon: Carcinogenesis Bioassay of 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-Dioxin (CAS No 1746-01-6) in Osborne-Mendel Rats and B6C3F1 Mice (Gavage Study); Govt Reports Announcements & Index (GRA&I); Issue 11. National Technical Information Services (NTIS), 1982a.

29. Anon: Carcinogenesis Bioassay of 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-Dioxin (CAS No 1746-01-6) in Swiss-Webster Mice (Dermal Study), Govt Reports Announcements & Index (GRA&I); Issue 11. National Technical Information Services (NTIS), 1982ba.

30. Anon: Chemical review: Dioxin. Dang Proper Ind Mater Rep 1988; 8:2-48.

31. Anon: No excess in cancer deaths found in largest group ever studied for long-term effects of dioxin exposure. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J 1980; 41:73.

32. Assennato G, Cervino D, & Emmett EA: Follow-up of subjects who developed chloracne following TCDD exposure at Seveso. Am J Ind Med 1989; 16:119-125.

33. Axelson O & Sundell L: Herbicide exposure, mortality and tumor incidence. An epidemiological investigation on Swedish railroad workers. Scand J Work Environ Health 1974; 11:21-28.

34. Axelson O, Persson B, & Wingren G: Dioxin and diabetes mellitus (letter). Epidemiology 1998; 9(3):358-359.

35. Badesha JS, Maliji G, & Flaks B: Immunotoxic effects of exposure of rats to xenobiotics via maternal lactation. 1. 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. Internat J Exp Pathol 1995; 76:425-439.

36. Barbieri S, Pirovano C, & Scarlato G: Long-term effects of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin on the peripheral nervous system. Clinical and neurophysiological controlled study on subjects with chloracne from the Seveso area. Neuroepidemiology 1988; 7:29-37.
37. Baselt RC: Biological Monitoring Methods for Industrial Chemicals, 3rd ed, PSG

Publishing Company, Littleton, MA, 1997.

38. Baselt RC: Disposition of Toxic Drugs and Chemicals in Man, 5th ed, Chemical Toxiology Institute, Foster City, CA, 2000.

39. Baxter PJ, Adams PH, & Aw TC: Hunter's Diseases of Occupations, 9th ed, Oxford University Press Inc, New York, NY, 2000.

40. Beale MG, Shearer WT, & Karl MM: Long term effects of dioxin exposure. Lancet 1977; 1:748.

41. Becher H, Fleschjanys D, & Kauppinen T: Cancer mortality in German male workers exposed to phenoxy herbicides and dioxins. Cancer Causes Contr 1996; 7:312-321.
42. Beck H, Dross A, & Ende M: Ergebnisse von Ruckstandsuntersuchungen auf

polychlorierte Dibenzofurane und Dibenzodioxine in Frauenmilch aus der Bundesrepublik

Deutschland (Results of the analysis for residue of polychlorinated dibenzodioxins and dibenzofurans in human milk from the Federal Republic of Germany) (German). Bundesgesundheitsblatt 1991; 34:564-568.

43. Beebe LE, Fornwald LW, & Diwan BA: Promotion of N-nitrosodiethylamine-initiated hepatocellular tumors and hepatoblastomas by 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin or Aroclor 1254 in C57BL/6, DBA/2, and B6D2F1 mice. Cancer Res 1995; 55:4875-4880.

44. Bertazzi A, Pesatori AC, & Consonni D: Cancer incidence in a population accidentally exposed to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-para-dioxin. Epidemiology 1993; 4:398-406.

45. Bertazzi PA, Zocchetti C, & Guercilena S: Dioxin exposure and cancer risk: a 15-year mortality study after the "Seveso accident". Epidemiology 1997; 8:646-652.

46. Bertazzi PA, Zocchetti C, & Pesatori AC: Ten-year mortality study of the population involved in the Seveso incident in 1976. Am J Epidemiol 1989; 129:1187-1200.

47. Bingham E, Cohrssen B, & Powell CH: Patty's Toxicology, 5th ed, 1, 5 & 8, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, 2001.

48. Birnbaum LS & Slezak BP: Research highlights: dietary exposure to PCBs and dioxins in children. Environ Health Perspect 1999; 107:1.

49. Birnbaum LS, McDonald MM, & Blair PC: Differential toxicity of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) in C57BL/6J mice congenic at the Ah locus. Fundam Appl Toxicol 1990; 15:186-200.

50. Birnbaum LS, Morrissey RE, & Harris MW: Teratogenic effects of 2,3,7,8tetrabromodibenzo-p-dioxin and three polybrominated dibenzofurans in C57BL/6N mice. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 1991; 107:141-152.

51. Bjerke DL, Mably TA, & Moore RW: Effects of perinatal exposure to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) on reproductive function in male rats. Toxicologist 1990; 10:313.

52. Blair A, Grauman DJ, & Lubin JH: Lung cancer and other causes of death among licensed pesticide applicators. J Natl Cancer Inst 1983; 71:31-37.

53. Bleiberg J, Wallen M, & Brodkin R: Industrially acquired porphyria. Arch Dermatol 1964; 89:793-797.

54. Boffetta P, Stellman SD, & Garfinkel L: A case-control study of multiple myeloma nested in the American Cancer Society prospective study. Intl J Cancer 1989; 43:554-559.
55. Bois FY & Eskenazi B: Possible risk of endometriosis for Seveso, Italy, Residents: an assessment of exposure to dioxin. Environ Health Prespect 1994; 102:476-477.

56. Bond GG, McLaren EA, & Brenner FE: Incidence of chloracne among chemical workers potentially exposed to chlorinated dioxins. J Occup Med 1989b; 31:771-774.

57. Bond GG, McLaren EA, & Lipps TE: Update of mortality among chemical workers with potential exposure to the higher chlorinated dioxins. J Occup Med 1989a; 31:121-123.

58. Brewster DW, Banks YB, & Clark AM: Comparative dermal absorption of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin and three polychlorinated dibenzofurans. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 1989; 97:156-166.

59. Brewster DW, Bombick DW, & Matsumura F: Rabbit serum hypertriglyceridemia after administration of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD). J Toxicol Environ Health 1988; 25:495-507.

60. Brooks AL, Jordan SW, & Bose KK: The cytogenetic and hepatotoxic effects of dioxin on mouse liver cells. Cell Biol Toxicol 1988; 4:31-40.

61. Budavari S: The Merck Index, 12th ed. on CD-ROM. Version 12:3a. Chapman & Hall/CRCnetBASE. Whitehouse Station, NJ. 2000.

62. Burmeister LF, Everett GD, & Van Lier SF: Selected cancer mortality and farm practices in Iowa. Am J Epidemiol 1983; 118:72-77.

63. Calvert GM, Hornung RW, & Sweeney MH: Hepatic and gastrointestinal effects in an occupational cohort exposed to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-para-dioxin. JAMA 1992; 267:2209-2214.

64. Calvert GM, Sweeney MH, & Fingerhut MA: Evaluation of porphyria cutanea tarda in US workers exposed to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. Am J Ind Med 1994; 25:559-571.

65. Calvert GM, Wille KK, & Sweeney MH: Evaluation of serum lipid concentrations among US workers exposed to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. Arch Environ Health 1996; 51:100-107.

66. Cantor KP & Blair A: Farming and mortality from multiple myeloma: a case-control study with the use of death certificates. J Natl Cancer Inst 1984; 72:251-255.

67. Caputo R, Monti M, & Ermacora E: Cutaneous manifestations of tetrachlorodibenzo-pdioxin in children and adolescents. J Am Acad Dermatol 1988; 19:812-819.

68. Chahoud I, Hartmann J, & Rune GM: Reproductive toxicity and toxicokinetics of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. 3. Effects of single doses on the testis of male rats. Arch Toxicol 1992; 66:567-572.

69. Chahoud I, Krowke R, & Bochert G: Reproductive toxicity and toxicokinetics of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. 2. Problem of paternally-mediated abnormalities in the progeny of rat. Arch Toxicol 1991; 65:27-31.

70. Chahoud I, Krowke R, & Schimmel A: Reproductive toxicity and pharmacokinetics of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. 1. Effects of high doses on the fertility of male rats. Arch Toxicol 1989; 63:432-439.

71. Chapman EE & Schiller CM: Dose-related effects of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) in C57BL/6J and DBA/2J mice. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 1985; 78:147-157.
72. Chemsoft(R) : Electronic EPA, NIOSH, & OSHA Methods(TM). Windowchem(TM) Software. Fairfield, CA. 2000.

73. Chen YCJ, Guo YL, & Hsu CC: Cognitive development of Yu-Chen ("Oil Disease") children prenatally exposed to heat-degraded PCBs. JAMA 1992; 268:3213-3218.

74. Chyka PA & Seger D: Position statement: single-dose activated charcoal. American Academy of Clinical Toxicology; European Association of Poisons Centres and Clinical Toxicologists. J Toxicol Clin Toxicol 1997; 35:721-741.

75. Clayton GD & Clayton FE: Patty's Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology, Volume 2D, Toxicology, 4th ed, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, 1994, pp 2513-2536.

76. Collins JJ, Strauss ME, & Levinskas GJ: The mortality experience of workers exposed to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin in a trichlorophenol process, accident. Epidemiology 1993; 4:7-13.

77. Cook RR: Dioxin, chloracne, and soft tissue sarcoma. Lancet 1981; 1:618-619.

78. Courtney CD & Moore JA: Teratology studies with 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid and 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 1971; 20:396-403.
79. Couture LA, Abbott BD, & Birnbaum LS: A critical review of the developmental

toxicity and teratogenicity of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin: recent advances toward understanding the mechanism. Teratology 1990; 42:619-627.

80. DFG: List of MAK and BAT Values 2002, Report No. 38, DeutscheForschungsgemeinschaft, Commission for the Investigation of Health Hazards of ChemicalCompounds in the Work Area, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Federal Republic of Germany,2002.

81. DOE: ERPGs and TEELs for Chemicals of Concern, Revision 20. Department of Energy, Subcommittee on Consequence Assessment and Protective Actions. Washington DC. 2004. Available from URL: http://www.eh.doe.gov/chem\_safety//teel.html. As accessed June 9, 2004.

82. Davis BJ, Mccurdy EA, & Miller BD: Ovarian tumors in rats induced by chronic 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin treatment. Cancer Res 2000; 60:5414-5419.

83. Della Porta G, Dragani TA, & Sozzi G: Carcinogenic effects of infantile and long-term 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin treatment in the mouse. Tumori 1987; 73:99-107.

84. DiDomenico A & Zapponi GA: 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) in the environment: human health risk estimation and its application to the severe case as an example. Regulatory Toxicol Pharmacol 1986; 6:248-260.

85. Dimich-Ward H, Hertzman C, & Teschke K: Reproductive effects of paternal exposure to chlorophenate wood perservatives in the sawmill industry. Scand J Work Environ Health 1996; 22:267-273.

86. Dragun J: The Soil Chemistry of Hazardous Materials, Hazardous Materials Control Research Institute, Silver Spring, MD, 1988.

87. Dunagin WG: Cutaneous signs of systemic toxicity due to dioxins and related chemicals. J Am Acad Dermatol 1984; 10:688-700.

88. EPA: 40 CFR 266.104(3). Standards to control organic emissions, Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 2002g.

89. EPA: 40 CFR 63.1203. Final Rules for standards for hazardous waste incinerators, Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 2002f.

90. EPA: Estimating Exposure to Dioxin-Like Compounds. Volume I: Executive Summary, US Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1994a.

91. EPA: Health Assessment Document for 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) and Related Compounds, Volume III, US Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1994b.

92. EPA: Health Reassessment for 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) and related compounds (EPA/600/p-00/001Ae).. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Washington, DC, USA. 2000c. Available from URL: www.epa.gov/nceawww1/pdfs/dioxin/index.html.
93. EPA: Questions and Answers about Dioxins.. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development. Washington, DC, USA. 2000a. Available from URL:

www.epa.gov/nceawww1/pdfs/dioxin/dioxin questions and answers.pdf.

94. EPA: Search results for Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) Inventory Chemicals. US Environmental Protection Agency, Substance Registry System, U.S. EPA's Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics. Washington, DC. 2003. Available from URL: http://www.epa.gov/srs/. As accessed Mar 27, 2003.

95. EPA: Summary of Major EPA Control Efforts. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development. Washington, DC, USA. 2000b. Available from URL: www.epa.gov/nceawww1/pdfs/dioxin/factsheets/dioxin\_regs.pdf.

96. EPA: Summary of the Dioxin Reassessment Science, Update. U.S. Enivronmental Proctection Agency, Office of Research and Development. Washington, DC, USA. 2001a. Available from URL: www.epa.gov/nceawww1/pdfs/dioxin/factsheets/dioxin\_short2.pdf. 97. ERG: Emergency Response Guidebook. A Guidebook for First Responders During the Initial Phase of a Dangerous Goods/Hazardous Materials Incident, U.S. Department of Transportation, Research and Special Programs Administration, Washington, DC, 2004.

98. Egeland GM, Sweeney MH, & Fingerhut MA: Total serum testosterone and gonadotropins in workers exposed to dioxin. Am J Epidemiol 1994; 139:272-281.

99. Eklund G, Pedersen JR, & Stromberg B: Phenol and HCl at 550 degree C yield a large variety of chlorinated toxic compounds. Nature 1986; 320:155-156.

100. Elliot CG, Colby TV, & Kelly TM: Charcoal lung. Bronchiolitis obliterans after aspiration of activated charcoal. Chest 1989; 96:672-674.

101. Erickson JD, Mulinare J, & McClain PW: Vietnam veteran's risks for fathering babies with birth defects. JAMA 1984; 252:903-912.

102. Eschenroeder A, Jaeger RJ, & Ospital JJ: Health risk analysis of human exposures to soil amended with sewage sludge contaminated with polychlorinated dibenzodioxins and dibenzofurans. Vet Human Toxicol 1986; 28:435-442.

103. Evans RG, Webb KB, & Knutsen AP: A medical follow-up of the health effects of long-term exposure to 2,3,7,8-tetrachorodibenzo-p-dioxin. Arch Environ Health 1988; 43:273-278.

104. FDA: Poison treatment drug product for over-the-counter human use; tentative final monograph. FDA: Fed Register 1985; 50:2244-2262.

105. Field B & Kerr C: Reproductive behaviour and consistent patterns of abnormality in offspring of Vietnam veterans. J Med Genet 1988; 25:819-826.

106. Fierens S, Mairesse H, Jean-Francois H, et al: Dioxin/polychlorinated biphenyl body burden, diabetes and endometriosis: findings in a population-based study in Belgium. Biomarkers 2003; 8(6):529-534.

107. Fingerhut MA, Halperin WE, & Marlow DA: Cancer mortality in workers exposed to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. N Engl J Med 1991; 324:212-218.

108. Fleschjanys D, Becher H, & Gurn P: Elimination of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans in occupationally exposed persons. J Toxicol Environ Health 1996; 47:363-378.

109. Fleschjanys D, Berger J, & Gurn P: Exposure to polychlorinated dioxins and furans (PCDD/F) and mortality in a cohort of workers from a herbicide-producing plant in Hamburg, Federal Republic of Germany. Am J Epidemiol 1995; 142:1165-1175.

110. Flodstroem S & Ahlborg UG: Relative liver tumor promoting activity of some polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxin-, dibenzofuran- and biphenyl-congeners in female rats. Chemosphere 1992; 25:169-172.

111. Flowers FP, Fenske NA, & Whisman PA: Agent orange: What's it all about?. J Florida Med Assoc 1981; 68:991-992.

112. Forawi HA, Tchounwou PB, & McMurray RW: Xenoestrogen modulation of the immune system: effects of dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT) and 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD). Rev Environ Health 2004; 19(1):1-13.

113. Frakes RA, Zeeman CQ, & Mower B: Bioaccumulation of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzop-dioxin (TCDD) by fish downstream of pulp and paper mills in Maine. Ecotoxicol Environ Safety 1993; 25:244-52.

114. Freeman HM: Standard Handbook of Hazardous Waste Treatment and Disposal, 2nd ed, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, NY, 1998.

115. Freeman HM: Standard Handbook of Hazardous Waste Treatment and Disposal, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, NY, 1989.

116. Giavini E, Prati M, & Vismara C: Embryotoxic effects of 2,3,7,8 tetrachlorodibenzo-pdioxin administered to female rats before mating. Environ Res 1983; 31:105-110.

117. Goldfrank LR: Goldfrank's Toxicological Emergencies, 6th ed, McGraw-Hill, New York, NY, 1998.

118. Golej J, Boigner H, & Burda G: Severe respiratory failure following charcoal application in a toddler. Resucitation 2001; 49:315-318.

119. Graff GR, Stark J, & Berkenbosch JW: Chronic lung disease after activated charcoal aspiration. Pediatrics 2002; 109:959-961.

120. Greenlee WE, Hushka LJ, & Hushka DR: Molecular basis of dioxin actions: evidence supporting chemoprotection. Toxicol Pathol 2001; 29:6-7.

121. Greenwald P, Kovasznay B, & Collins DN: Sarcomas of soft tissues after Vietnam service. J Natl Cancer Inst 1984; 73:1107-1109.

122. Grehl H, Grahmann F, & Claus D: Histologic evidence for a toxic polyneuropathy due to exposure to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) in rats. ACTA Neurol Scand 1993; 88:354-357.

123. Guo SW: The link between exposure to dioxin and endometriosis: A critical reappraisal of primate data. Gyn Obst Investigation 2004; 57:157-173.

124. Guo YL, Hsu PC, & Hsu CC: Semen quality after prenatal exposure to polychlorinated biphenyls and dibenzofurans. Lancet 2000; 356:1240-1241.

125. Guo YL, Lin CJ, & Yao WJ: Musculoskeletal changes in children prenatally exposed to polychlorinated biphenyls and related compounds (Yu-Cheng children). J Toxicol Environ Health 1994; 41:83-93.

126. HSDB : Hazardous Substances Data Bank. National Library of Medicine. Bethesda, MD (Internet Version). Edition expires 2002; provided by Thomson MICROMEDEX, Greenwood Village, CO.

127. HSDB : Hazardous Substances Data Bank. National Library of Medicine. Bethesda, MD (Internet Version). Edition expires 2004; provided by Thomson MICROMEDEX, Greenwood Village, CO.

128. Halperin W, Kalow W, & Sweeney MH: Induction of P-450 in workers exposed to dioxin. Occup Environ Med 1995; 52:86-91.

129. Hannah RR, Lund J, & Poellinger L: Characterization of the DNA-binding properties of the receptor for 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. Eur J Biochem 1986; 156:237-242. 130. Harbison RM: Hamilton and Hardy's Industrial Toxicology, 5th ed, Mosby, St. Louis, MO, 1998.

131. Hardell L & Bengtsson NO: Epidemiological study of socioeconomic factors and clinical findings in Hodgkin's disease, and reanalysis of previous data regarding chemical exposure. Br J Cancer 1983; 48:217-225.

132. Hardell L & Eriksson M: The association between soft tissue sarcomas and exposure to phenoxyacetic acids: a new case-referent study. Cancer 1988; 62:652-656.

133. Hardell L, Eriksson M, & Lenner P: Malignant lymphoma and exposure to chemicals, especially organic solvents, chlorophenols and phenoxy acids: a case-control study. Br J Cancer 1981; 43:169-176.

134. Hardell L: Malignant lymphoma of histiocytic type and exposure to phenoxyacetic acids or chlorophenols. Lancet 1979; 1:55-56.

135. Hardell L: Relation of soft-tissue sarcoma, malignant lymphoma and colon cancer to phenoxy acids, chlorophenols and other agents. Scand J Work Environ Health 1981; 7:119-130.

136. Harper N, Connor K, & Safe S: Immunotoxic potencies of polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB), dibenzofuran (PCDF) and dibenzo-p-dioxin (PCDD) congeners in C57BL/6 and DBA/2 mice. Toxicology 1993; 80:217-227.

137. Hassan MQ, Stohs SJ, & Murray WJ: Dietary selenium, glutathione peroxidase activity, and toxicity of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. J Toxicol Environ Health 1985; 15:405-415.

138. Hassoun EA & Stohs SJ: Comparative teratological studies on TCDD, endrin and lindane in C57BL/6J and DBA/2J mice. Comp Biochem Physiol 1996; 113:393-398.
139. Hatch MC & Stein ZA: Agent orange and risks to reproduction: the limits of epidemiology. Teratogen Carcinogen Mutagen 1986; 6:185-202.

140. Hayes HM, Tarone RE, & Casey HW: Excess of seminomas observed in Vietnam service US military working dogs. J Natl Cancer Inst 1990; 82:1042-1046.

141. Hayes WJ Jr & Laws ER Jr: Handbook of Pesticide Toxicology, Volume 1, Academic Press, Inc, San Diego, CA, 1991, pp 330-3371217-1243.

142. Hayes WJ Jr: Pesticides Studied in Man, Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore, MD, 1982. 143. Hebert CD, Harris MW, & Elwell MR: Relative toxicity and tumor-promoting ability of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD), 2,3,4,7,8-pentachlorodibenzofuran (PCDF), and 1,2,3,4,7,8-hexachlorodibenzofuran (HCDF) in hairless mice. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 1990; 102:362-377.

144. Henriksen GL, Ketchum NS, & Michalek JE: Serum dioxin, diabetes mellitus in veterans of Operation Ranch Hand. Epidemiology 1997; 8:252-258.

145. Hoar SK, Blair A, & Holmes FF: Agricultural herbicide use and risk of lymphoma and soft-tissue sarcoma. JAMA 1986; 256:1141-1147.

146. Hoffman RE, Stehr-Green PA, & Webb KB: Health effects of long-term exposure to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. JAMA 1986; 255:2031-2038.

147. Holsapple MP, McNerney PJ, & Barnes DW: Suppression of humoral antibody production by exposure to 1,2,3,6,7,8-hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1984; 231:518-526.

148. Honchar PA & Halperin WE: 2,4,5-T, trichlorophenol, and soft tissue sarcoma. Lancet 1981; 1:268-269.

149. Howard PH, Boethling RS, & Jarvis WF: Handbook of Environmental Degradation Rates, Lewis Publishers, Chelsea, MI, 1991.

150. Howard PH, Boethling RS, & Jarvis WF: Handbook of Environmental Degradation Rates, Lewis Publishers, Chelsea, MI, 1991a.

151. Hruska RE & Olson JR: Species differences in estrogen receptors and in the response to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin exposure. Toxicol Lett 1989; 48:289-299.

152. Hsu CC, Hu HF, & Lai RJ: Behavioral development of Yucheng children as compared to their matched controls. Dioxin '93 1993; 14:239-242.

153. Huff JE, Salmon AG, & Hooper NK: Long-term carcinogenesis studies on 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin and hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxins. Cell Biol Toxicol 1991; 7:67-94.

154. Huisman M, Koopmanesseboom C, & Fidler V: Perinatal exposure to polychlorinated biphenyls and dioxins and its effect on neonatal neurological development. Early Human Dev 1995; 41:111-127.

155. Huuskonen H, Unkila M, & Pohjanvirta R: Developmental toxicity of 2,3,7,8tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) in the most TCDD-resistant and -susceptible rat strains. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 1994; 124:174-180.

156. IARC : Monographs on the Evaluation of the Carcinogenicity of Chemicals to Humans. Polychlorinated Dibenzo-para-dioxins [1746-01-6]. Available at International Agency for Research on Cancer. 69. International Agency for Research on Cancer, World Health Organization. Geneva, Switzerland. 1997. Available from URL: http://www.iarc.fr). As accessed Accessed 10 May 01.

157. IARC: International Agency for Research on Cancer: IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risk of Chemicals to Humans, 15, International Agency for Research on Cancer, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 1977.

158. IARC: List of all agents, mixtures and exposures evaluated to date - IARC Monographs: Overall Evaluations of Carcinogenicity to Humans, Volumes 1-88, 1972-PRESENT. World Health Organization, International Agency for Research on Cancer. Lyon, FranceAvailable from URL: http://monographs.iarc.fr/monoeval/crthall.html. As accessed Oct 07, 2004.

159. ICAO: Technical Instructions for the Safe Transport of Dangerous Goods by Air, 2003-2004. International Civil Aviation Organization, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, 2002.

160. ILO: Encyclopaedia of Occupational Health and Safety, 4th ed. Vol 1-4. JM Stellman (Ed) , International Labour Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 1998.

161. IOM: Veterans and Agent Orange (Executive Summary), Institute of Medicine, Division of Health Promotion and Disease Prevention, Committee to Review the Health Effects in Vietnam Veterans of Exposure to Herbicides, National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 1993.

162. IRIS: Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) Substance Reports. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, National Center for Environmental Assessment. Washington, DCAvailable from URL:

http://www.epa.gov/iris/subst/index.html. As accessed October 11, 2004.

163. Ivens IA, Loser E, & Rinke M: Subchronic toxicity of 2,3,7,8-tetrabromodibenzo-pdioxin in rats. Toxicology 1993; 83:181-201.

164. Ivnitski I, Elmaoued R, & Walker MK: 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) inhibition of coronary development is preceded by a decrease in myocyte proliferation and an increase in cardiac apoptosis. Teratology 2001; 64:201-212.

165. Jansson B & Voog L: Dioxin from Swedish municipal incinerators and the occurrence of cleft lip and palate malformations. Int J Environ Stud 1989; 34:99-104.

166. Johnson ES, Parsons W, & Weinberg CR: Current serum levels of 2,3,7,8tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin in phenoxy acid herbicide applicators and characterization of historical levels. J Natl Cancer Inst 1992; 84:1648-1653.

167. Johnson J: Dioxin risk: are we sure yet?. Environ Sci Technol 1995; 29:24A-25A. 168. Johnson L, Walker CE, & Safe SH: 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin reduces the number, size and organelle content of Leydig cells in adult rat testes. Toxicology 1994; 89:49-65.

169. Jones RE & Chelsky M: Further discussion concerning porphyria cutanea tarda and TCDD exposure. Arch Environ Health 1986; 41:100-103.

170. Kahn PC, Gochfeld M, & Nygren M: Dioxins and dibenzofurans in blood and adipose tissue of Agent Orange - exposed Vietnam veterans and matched controls. JAMA 1988; 259:1661-1667.

171. Karmaus W & Wolf N: Reduced birthweight and length in the offspring of females exposed to PCDFs, PCP, and lindane. Environ Health Perspect 1995; 103:1120-1125. 172. Kayajanian G: Dioxin is a promoter blocker, a promoter, and a net anticarcinogen. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 1997; 26:134-137.

173. Keenan RE, Paustenbach DJ, & Wenning RJ: Pathology reevaluation of the Kociba et al (1978) bioassay of 2,3,7,8-TCDD: implications for risk assessment. J Toxicol Environ Health 1991; 34:279-296.

174. Kerkvliet NI, Wagner SL, & Schmotzer WB: Dioxin intoxication from chronic exposure to horses to pentachlorophenol-contaminated wood shavings. JAVMA 1992; 201:296-302.

175. Ketchum NS, Michalek JE, & Burton JE: Serum dioxin and cancer in veterans of Operation Ranch Hand. Am J Epidemiol 1999; 149:630-639.

176. Kimbrough RD, Carter CD, & Liddle JA: Epidemiology and pathology of a tetrachlorodibenzodioxin poisoning episode. Arch Environ Health 1977; 32:77.

177. Klawans HL: Dystonia and tremor following exposure to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-pdioxin. Movement Disorders 1987; 2:255-261.

178. Kociba RJ, Keeler PA, & Park CN: 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD): results of a 13-week oral toxicity study in rats. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 1976; 35:553-574. 179. Kociba RJ, Keyes DG, & Beyer JE: Results of a two-year chronic toxicity and oncogenicity study of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin in rats. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 1978; 46:279-303.

180. Kogan MD & Clapp RW: Soft tissue sarcoma mortality among Vietnam veterans in Massachusetts, 1972 to 1983. Int J Epidemiol 1988; 17:39-43.

181. Kogevinas M, Kauppinen T, & Winkelmann R: Soft tissue sarcoma and non-Hodgkins lymphoma in workers exposed to phenoxy herbicides, chlorophenols, and dioxins - two nested case-control studies. Epidemiol 1995; 6:396-402.

182. Koopman-Esseboom C, Weisglaskuperus N, & Deridder MAJ: Effects of polychlorinated biphenyl dioxin exposure and feeding type on infants mental and psychomotor development. Pediatrics 1996; 97:700-706.

183. Koppe JG, Olie K, & van Wijen J: Placental transport of dioxins from mother to fetus. Dev Pharmacol Ther 1992; 18:9-13.

184. Koppe JG: Dioxins and furans in the mother and possible effects on the fetus and newborn breast-fed baby. ACTA Paediatr Scand 1989; 360(Suppl):146-153.

185. Kuehl DW, Butterworth BC, & DeVita WM: Environmental contamination by polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans associated with pulp and paper mill discharge. Biomed Environ Mass Spectrum 1987; 14:443-7.

186. Lai TJ, Chen YC, & Chou WJ: Cognitive development in Yucheng children. Dioxin '93 1993; 14:247-250.

187. Lamb JC 4th, Marks TA, & Gladen BC: Male fertility, sister chromatid exchange, and germ cell toxicity following exposure to mixtures of chlorinated phenoxy acids containing 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. J Toxicol Environ Health 1981a; 8:825-834.

188. Lamb JC 4th, Moore JA, & Marks TA: Development and viability of offspring of male mice treated with chlorinated phenoxy acids and 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. J Toxicol Environ Health 1981b; 8:835-844.

189. Landi MT, Consonni D, & Patterson DG Jr: 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin plasma levels in Seveso 20 years after the accident. Environ Health Perspect 1998; 106(5):273-7.

190. Lang DS, Becker S, & Clark GC: Lack of direct immunosuppressive effects of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) on human peripheral blood lymphocyte subsets in vitro. Arch Toxicol 1994; 68:296-302.

191. Levy CJ: Agent orange exposure and posttraumatic stress disorder. J Nervous Mental Dis 1988; 176:242-245.

192. Lewis RA: Lewis' Dictionary of Toxicology, Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, FL, 1998. 193. Lewis RJ: Sax's Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials, 10th ed, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, 2000.

194. Lim M, Jacobson-Kram D, & Bowman RE: Effect of chronic exposure to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin on sister chromatid exchange levels in peripheral lymphocytes of the Rhesus monkey. Cell Biol Toxicol 1987; 3:279-284.

195. Luster MI, Boorman GA, & Dean JH: Examination of bone marrow, immunologic parameters and host susceptibility following pre- and postnatal exposure to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD). Internat J Immunopharmacol 1980; 2:301-310. 196. MMWR: Serum 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin levels in Air Force Health Study participants report. MMWR (May 27), 1988.

197. Mably TA, Bjerke DL, & Moore RW: In utero and lactational exposure of male rats to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. 3. Effects on spermatogenesis and reproductive capability. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 1992c; 114:118-126.

198. Mably TA, Moore RW, & Goy RW: In utero and lactational exposure of male rats to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. 2. Effects on sexual behavior and the regulation of luteinizing hormone secretion in adulthood. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 1992b; 114:108-117. 199. Mably TA, Moore RW, & Peterson RE: Effects of perinatal exposure to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) on pre- and postnatal plasma testosterone (T) concentrations in male rats. Toxicologist 1991; 11:262.

200. Mably TA, Moore RW, & Peterson RE: In utero and lactational exposure of male rats to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. 1. Effects on androgenic status. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 1992a; 114:97-107.

201. Manz A, Berger J, & Dwyer JH: Cancer mortality among workers in chemical plant contaminated with dioxin. Lancet 1991; 338:959-964.

202. Martin JV: Lipid abnormalities in workers exposed to dioxin. Br J Ind Med 1984; 41:254-256.

203. Massa T, Esmaeili A, & Fortmeyer H: Cell transforming and oncogenic activity of 2,3,7,8--tetrachloro--and 2,3,7,8 tetrabromodibenzo-p-dioxin. Anticancer Res 1992; 12:2053-2060.

204. Mastroiacovo P, Spagnolo A, & Marni E: Birth defects in the Seveso area after TCDD contamination. JAMA 1988; 259:1668-1672.

205. Matsumura F: Stimulation of c-ras expression by 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. Chemosphere 1992; 25:959-966.

206. May G: Chloracne from the accidental production of tetrachlorobenzodioxin. Br J Ind Med 1973; 30:276-283.

207. McKinney J & McConnell E: Structural specificity and the dioxin receptor, in Hutzinger O: Chlorinated Dioxins and Related Compounds, Pergamon Press, Oxford, UK, 1982.

208. Meyne J, Allison DC, & Bose K: Hepatotoxic doses of dioxin do not damage mouse bone marrow chromosomes. Mutat Res 1985; 157:63-69.

209. Michalek JE, Akhtar FZ, & Kiel JL: Serum dioxin, insulin, fasting glucose, and sex hormone-binding globulin in veterans of Operation Ranch Hand. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1999; 84:1540-1543.

210. Michalek JE, Ketchum NS, & Akhtar FZ: Postservice mortality of US Air Force veterans occupationally exposed to herbicides in Vietnam: 15-year follow-up. Am J Epidemiol 1998a; 148:786-792.

211. Michalek JE, Ketchum NS, & Check IJ: Serum dioxin and immunologic response in veterans of Operation Ranch Hand. Am J Epidemiol 1999a; 149:1038-1046.

212. Michalek JE, Pirkle JL, & Caudill SP: Pharmacokinetics of TCDD in veterans of operation ranch hand -- 10-year follow-up. J Toxicol Environ Health 1996; 47:209-220.
213. Michalek JE, Rahe AJ, & Boyle CA: Paternal dioxin, preterm birth, intrauterine growth retardation, and infant death. Epidemiology 1998b; 9:161-167.

214. Mocarelli P, Needham LL, & Marocchi A: Serum concentrations of 2,3,7,8tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin and test results from selected residents of Seveso, Italy. J Toxicol Environ Health 1991; 32:357-366.

215. Moore RW, Mably TA, & Peterson RE: Effects of perinatal 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzop-dioxin (TCDD) exposure on the development of male rats and their androgenic status. Toxicologist 1990; 10:247.

216. Morrison H, Savitz D, & Semenciw R: Farming and prostate cancer mortality. Am J Epidemiol 1993; 137:270-280.

217. Moses M, Lilis R, & Thornton J: Health status of workers with past exposure to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin in the manufacture of 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid: comparison of findings with and without chloracne. Am J Ind Med 1984; 5:161-182.
218. Murray FJ, Smith FA, & Nitschke KD: Three-generation reproduction study of rats ingesting 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 1977; 41:200-201.
219. Mustonen R, Elovaara E, & Zitting A: Effects of commercial chlorophenolate, 2,3,7,8-TCDD, and pure phenoxyacetic acids on hepatic peroxisome proliferation, xenobiotic metabolism and sister chromatid exchange in the rat. Arch Toxicol 1989; 63:203-208.
220. Muto H & Takizawa Y: Dioxins in cigarette smoke. Arch Environ Health 1989; 44:171-174.

221. NFPA: Fire Protection Guide to Hazardous Materials, 13th ed., National Fire Protection Association, Quincy, MA, 2002.

222. NIOSH : Pocket Guide to Chemical Hazards. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. Cincinnati, OH (Internet Version). Edition expires March/2002; provided by Thomson MICROMEDEX, Greenwood Village, CO.

223. NIOSH: Current Intelligence Bulletin 40, 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin, No 84-104, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Cincinnati, OH, 1984.

224. NIOSH: Pocket Guide to Chemical Hazards, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Cincinnati, OH, 2003.

225. NRC: Acute Exposure Guideline Levels for Selected Airborne Chemicals - Volume 1, Subcommittee on Acute Exposure Guideline Levels, Committee on Toxicology, Board on Environmental Studies and Toxicology, Commission of Life Sciences, National Research Council. National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 2001.

226. NRC: Acute Exposure Guideline Levels for Selected Airborne Chemicals - Volume 2, Subcommittee on Acute Exposure Guideline Levels, Committee on Toxicology, Board on Environmental Studies and Toxicology, Commission of Life Sciences, National Research Council. National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 2002.

227. NRC: Acute Exposure Guideline Levels for Selected Airborne Chemicals - Volume 3, Subcommittee on Acute Exposure Guideline Levels, Committee on Toxicology, Board on Environmental Studies and Toxicology, Commission of Life Sciences, National Research Council. National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 2003.

228. NRC: Acute Exposure Guideline Levels for Selected Airborne Chemicals - Volume 4, Subcommittee on Acute Exposure Guideline Levels, Committee on Toxicology, Board on

Environmental Studies and Toxicology, Commission of Life Sciences, National Research Council. National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 2004.

229. NTP: Report on Carcinogens, Eleventh Edition. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Toxicology Program. Research Triangle Park, NC. 2005. Available from URL: http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/roc/toc11.html. As accessed Feb 7, 2005.

230. Nosek JA, Craven SR, & Sullivan JR: Toxicity and reproductive effects of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin in ring-necked pheasant hens. J Toxicol Environ Health 1992; 35:187-98.

231. Oliver RM: Toxic effects of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-1, 4-dioxin in laboratory workers. Br J Ind Med 1975; 32:49-53.

232. Olson JR & McGarrigle BP: Comparative developmental toxicity of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD). Chemosphere 1992; 25:71-74.

233. Olson JR: Metabolism and disposition of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin in guinea pigs. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 1986; 85:263-273.

234. Osborne R & Greenlee WF: 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) enhances terminal differentiation of cultured human epidermal cells. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 1985; 77:434-443.

235. Ott MG, Olson RA, & Cook RR: Cohort mortality study of chemical workers with potential exposure to the higher chlorinated dioxins. J Occup Med 1987; 29:422-429.

236. Patterson DG Jr, Fingerhut MA, & Roberts DW: Levels of polychlorinated dibenzo-pdioxins and dibenzofurans in workers exposed to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. Am J Ind Med 1989; 16:135-146.

237. Patterson DG Jr, Needham LL, & Pirkle JL: Correlation between serum and adipose tissue levels of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin in 50 persons from Missouri. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol 1988; 17:139-143.

238. Pazderova-Vejlupkova J, Nemcova M, & Pickova J: The development and prognosis of chronic intoxication by tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin in man. Arch Environ Health 1981; 35:5-11.

239. Pearn JH: Herbicides and congenital malformations: a review for the paediatrician. Aust Paediatr J 1985; 21:237-242.

240. Peper M, Klett M, & Frentzel-Beyme R: Neuropsychological effects of chronic exposure to environmental dioxins and furans. Environ Res 1993; 60:124-135.

241. Persson B, Dahlander A-M, & Fredriksson M: Malignant lymphomas and occupational exposures. Br J Ind Med 1989; 46:517-520.

242. Pesatori AC, Consonni D, & Tironi A: Cancer in a young population in a dioxincontaminated area. Intl J Epidemiol 1993; 22:1010-1013.

243. Peterson RE, Theobald HM, & Kimmel GL: Developmental and reproductive toxicity of dioxins and related compounds - cross-species comparisons. Crit Rev Toxicol 1993; 23:283-335.

244. Pirkle JL, Wolfe WH, & Patterson DG: Estimates of the half-life of 2,3,7,8tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin in Vietnam Veterans of Operation Ranch Hand. J Toxicol Environ Health 1989; 27:165-171.

245. Plewig G: Vitamin A acid treatment of chloracne. Hautarzt 1971; 22:341-345.246. Pluim HJ, Devijlder JJM, & Olie K: Effects of prenatal and postnatal exposure to chlorinated dioxins and furans on human neonatal thyroid hormone concentrations. Environ Health Perspect 1993; 101:504-508.

247. Pluim HJ, Koppe JG, & Olie K: Effects of dioxins on thyroid function in newborn babies. Lancet 1992; 339:1303.

248. Pohjanvirta R, Unkila M, & Tuomisto J: Comparative acute lethality of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD), 1,2,3,7,8-pentachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin and 1,2,3,4,7,8-hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin in the most TCDD-susceptible and the most TCDD-resistant rat strain. Pharmacol Toxicol 1993; 73:52-56.

249. Poiger H & Schlatter C: Influence of solvents and absorbents on dermal and intestinal absorption of TCDD. Drug Cosmet Toxicol 1980; 18:477-481.

250. Poiger H & Schlatter C: Pharmacokinetics of 2,3,7,8-TCDD in man. Chemosphere 1986; 15:1489-1494.

251. Poland A & Glover E: Genetic expression of aryl hydrocarbon hydroxylase by 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin: evidence for a receptor mutation in genetically non-responsive mice. Mol Pharmacol 1975; 11:389-398.

252. Poland AP, Smith D, & Metter G: A health survey of workers in a 2,4,D and 2,4,5-T plant, with special attention to chloracne, porphyria cutanea tarda and psychologic parameters. Arch Environ Health 1971; 22:316-327.

253. Puga A, Nebert DW, & Carrier F: Dioxin induces expression of c-fos and c-jun protooncogenes and a large increase in transcription factor AP-1. DNA Cell Biol 1992; 4:269-281.

254. RTECS : Registry of Toxic Effects of Chemical Substances. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. Cincinnati, OH (Internet Version). Edition expires 2001; provided by Thomson MICROMEDEX, Greenwood Village, CO.

255. RTECS : Registry of Toxic Effects of Chemical Substances. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. Cincinnati, OH (Internet Version). Edition expires 2002; provided by Thomson MICROMEDEX, Greenwood Village, CO.

256. Randerath K, Putman KL, & Randerath E: Organ-specific effects of long term feeding of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin and 1,2,3,7,8-pentachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin on I-compounds in hepatic and renal DNA of female Sprague-Dawley rats. Carcinogenesis 1988; 9:2285-2289.

257. Rannug A, Alexandrie AK, & Persson I: Genetic polymorphism of cytochromes P450 1A1, 2D6 AND 2E1 - regulation and toxicological significance. J Occup Environ Med 1995; 37:25-36.

258. Rao MS, Subbarao V, & Prasad JD: Carcinogenicity of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-pdioxin in the Syrian golden hamster. Carcinogenesis 1988; 9:1677-1679.

259. Rau NR, Nagaraj MV, Prakash PS, et al: Fatal pulmonary aspiration of oral activated charcoal. Br Med J 1988; 297:918-919.

260. Reggiani G: Estimations of the TCDD toxin potential in light of the Seveso accident. Arch Toxicol (Suppl) 1979; 2:291-302.

261. Reggiani G: Medical problems raised by the TCDD contamination in Seveso, Italy. Arch Toxicol 1978; 40:161-188.

262. Rier SE, Martin DC, & Bowman RE: Endometriosis in rhesus monkeys (Macaca mulatta) following chronic exposure to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. Fundam Appl Toxicol 1993; 21:433-441.

263. Riihimaki V, Asp S, & Hernberg S: Mortality of 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid and 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid herbicide applicators in Finland: first report of an ongoing prospective cohort study. Scand J Work Environ Health 1982; 8:37-42.

264. Roegner RH, Grubbs WD & Lustik MB et al: GRA&I, Air Force Health Study. An Epidemiologic Investigation of Health Effects in Air Force Personnel Following Exposure to Herbicides. Volume 1. National Technical Information Service, 1991.

265. Rozman K: A critical view of the mechanism(s) of toxicity of 2,3,7,8-

tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. Implications for human safety assessment. Derm Beruf Umwelt 1989; 37:81-92.

266. Rylander L & Hagmar L: Mortality and cancer incidence among women with a high consumption of fatty fish contaminated with persistent organochlorine compounds. Scand J Work Environ Health 1995; 21:419-426.

267. STNEasy : Scientific & Technical Information Network, Search results for 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. Chemical Abstract Service. Columbus, OH. 2002. Available from URL: http://stneasy.cas.org. As accessed accessed 2002 March 5.

268. Saracci R, Kogevinas M, & Bertazzi P: Cancer mortality in workers exposed to chlorophenoxy herbicides and chlorophenols. Lancet 1991; 38:1027-1032.

269. Schantz SL & Bowman RE: Learning in monkeys exposed perinatally to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD). Neurotoxicol Teratol 1989; 11:13-19.

270. Schardein JL: Chemically Induced Birth Defects, 3rd ed, Marcel Dekker, Inc, New York, NY, 2000.

271. Schecter A & Ryan JJ: Persistent brominated and chlorinated dioxin blood levels in a chemist. Am Coll Occup Environ Med 1992; 34:702-707.

272. Schecter A, Dai LC, & Le TBT: Agent Orange and the Vietnamese -- the persistence of elevated dioxin levels in human tissues. Am J Public Health 1995; 85:516-522.

273. Schecter A, Papke O, & Ball M: Dioxin and dibenzofuran levels in food from the United States as compared to levels in food from other industrialized countries. 12th Intl Symp Dioxins Rel Cmpds, Tampere, Finland, Finish Institute of Occupational Health, Helsinki, Finland, 1992, pp 243-246.

274. Schecter A, Ryan JJ, & Masuda Y: Chlorinated and brominated dioxins and dibenzofurans in human tissue following exposure. Environ Health Perspect 1994; 102(Suppl1):135-147.

275. Schecter A: Dioxins and related compounds in humans and the environment, in: Gallo M, Scheuplein R, Van der Heijden K (Eds), Biological basis for risk assessment of dioxin and related compounds. Banbury report No 35, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Plainview, NY, 1991.

276. Schiestl RH, Aubrecht J, & Yap WY: Polychlorinated biphenyls and 2,3,7,8tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin induce intrachromosomal recombination in vitro and in vivo. Cancer Res 1997; 57:4378-4383.

277. Schulz KH: Dermatologic aspects of dioxin intoxication. Z Hautkr 1977; 52:198-199.
278. Sharma RP & Reddy RV: Toxic effects of chemicals on the immune system. in: Halley TJ & Berndt WO (Eds), Handbook of Toxicology, Hemisphere Publishing Corp, Washington, DC, 1987, pp 581.

279. Sherr DH: 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) and long term immunologic memory. Toxicol Sci 2004; 79:211-213.

280. Silbergeld EK & Mattison DR: Experimental and clinical studies on the reproductive toxicology of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. Am J Ind Med 1987; 11:131-144. 281. Sirimanne SR, Barr JR, & Patterson DG: Quantification of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins in human serum by combined micelle mediated extraction. (cloud-point extraction) and HPLC. Anal Chem 1996; 68:1556-1560. 282. Sittig M: Handbook of Toxic and Hazardous Chemicals and Carcinogens, 3rd ed,

Noyes Publications, Park Ridge, NJ, 1991.

283. Smialowicz RJ, Riddle MM, & Williams WC: Effects of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-pdioxin (TCDD) on humoral immunity and lymphocyte subpopulations: differences between mice and rats. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 1994; 124:248-256.

284. Smith AH, Pearce NE, & Fisher DO: Soft tissue sarcoma and exposure to phenoxyherbicides and chlorophenols in New Zealand. J Natl Cancer Aust 1984; 73:1111-1117.

285. Smith FA, Schwetz BA, & Nitschke KD: Teratogenicity of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzop-dioxin in CF-1 mice. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 1976; 38:517-523.

286. Spitsbergen JM, Kleeman JM, & Peterson RE: 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin toxicity in yellow perch (Perca flavescens). J Toxicol Environ Health 1988b; 23:359-83. 287. Spitsbergen JM, Kleeman JM, & Peterson RE: Morphologic lesions and acute toxicity in rainbow trout (Salmo gairdneri) treated with 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. J Toxicol Environ Health 1988a; 23:333-58.

288. Stanker L, Watkins B, & Vanderlaan M: Development of an immunoassay for chlorinated dioxins based on a monoclonal antibody and an enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Chemosphere 1987; 16:1635-1639.

289. Steenland K, Piacitelli L, & Deddens J: Cancer, heart disease, and diabetes in workers exposed to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. J Natl Cancer Inst 1999; 91:779-786.
290. Stehr-Green PA, Andrews JS Jr, & Hoffman RE: An overview of the Missouri dioxin studies. Arch Environ Health 1988; 43:174-177.

291. Stellman SD, Stellman JM, & Sommer JF Jr: Health and reproductive outcomes among American legionnaires in relation to combat and herbicide exposure in Vietnam. Environ Res 1988; 47:150-174.

292. Stevens KM: Agent orange toxicity: a quantitative perspective. Human Toxicol 1981; 1:31-39.

293. Stockbauer JW, Hoffman RE, & Schramm WF: Reproductive outcomes of mothers with potential exposure to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. Am J Epidemiol 1988; 128:410-419.

294. Svensson BG, Nilsson A, & Hansson M: Exposure to dioxins and dibenzofurans through the consumption of fish. N Engl J Med 1991; 324:8-12.

295. Taylor MJ, Lucier GW, & Mahler JF: Inhibition of acute TCDD toxicity by treatment with anti-tumor necrosis factor antibody or dexamethasone. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 1992; 117:126-132.

296. Thiel R, Koch E, & Ulbrich B: Peri- and postnatal exposure to 2,3,7,8-

tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin: effects on physiological development, reflexes, locomotor activity and learning behavior in Wistar rats. Arch Toxicol 1994; 69:79-86.

297. Thiess AM, Fentzel-Beyme R, & Link R: Mortality study of persons exposed to dioxin in a trichlorophenol process accident that occurred in the BASF AG on Nov 17, 1953. Am J Ind Med 1982; 3:179-189.

298. Townsend JC, Bodner KM, & Van Peenen PF: Survey of reproductive events of wives of employees exposed to chlorinated dioxins. Am J Epidemiol 1982; 115:695-713.

299. Tritscher AM, Goldstein JA, & Portier CJ: Dose-response relationships for chronic exposure to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin in a rat tumor promotion model:

quantification and immunolocalization of CYP1A1 and CYP1A2 in the liver. Cancer Res 1992; 52:3436-3442.

300. Tsutsumi O: Effects of endocrine disruptors on preimplantation embryo development. Nippon Rinsho 2000; 58:2464-2468.

301. Tucker AN, Vore SJ, & Luster MI: Suppression of B cell differentiation by 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. Mol Pharmacol 1986; 29:372-377.

302. Tullis K, Olsen H, & Bombick DW: TCDD causes stimulation of c-ras expression in the hepatic plasma membranes in vivo and in vitro. J Biochem Toxicol 1992; 7:107-116. 303. USP DI: Volume I - Drug information for the health care professional, World Color Book Services, Taunton, MA, 2002.

304. Umbreit TH, Hesse EJ, & Gallo MA: Bioavailability of dioxin in soil from a 2,4,5-T manufacturing site. Science 1986; 232:497-499.

305. VanMiller JP, Lalich JJ, & Allen JR: Increased incidence of neoplasms in rats exposed to low levels of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. Chemosphere 1977; 6:537-544.

306. Verger P, Cordier S, & Thuy LTB: Correlation between dioxin levels in adipose tissue and estimated exposure to Agent Orange in South Vietnamese residents. Environ Res 1994; 65:226-242.

307. Verschueren K: Handbook of Environmental Data on Organic Chemicals. 4th ed. CD-ROM version. Wiley-Interscience. Hoboken, NJ. 2001.

308. Wahba ZZ, Lawson TA, & Stohs SJ: Induction of hepatic DNA single strand breaks in rats by 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD). Cancer Lett 1988; 39:281-286.

309. Walsh RJ, Donovan JW, & Adena MA: Case control study of congenital anomalies and Vietnam service (birth defect study). Report to the Minister for Veterans Affairs, Australian Government Publishing Service, Canberra, Australia, 1983.

310. Wendling JM & Orth RG: Determination of (3H)-2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin in human feces to ascertain its relative metabolism in man. Anal Chem 1990; 62:796-800.

311. Wolfe WH, Michalek JE, & Miner JC: Paternal serum dioxin and reproductive outcomes among veterans of Operation Ranch Hand. Epidemiol 1995; 6:17-22.

312. Wolfle D & Marquardt H: Antioxidants inhibit the enhancement of malignant cell transformation induced by 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. Carcinogenesis 1996; 17:1273-1278.

313. Xu Yh, Dragan YP, & Maronpot RR: Criteria, mechanisms, and potency evaluation for tumor promoters: Dioxin as a model. Chemosphere 1992; 25:227-230.

314. Young AL, Calcagni JA & Thalken CE et al: The toxicology, environmental fate, and human risk of herbicide orange and its associated dioxin. USAF OEHL Technical Report TR-78-92, Brooks Air Force Base, Texas, 1978.

315. Zack JA & Suskind RR: The mortality experience of workers exposed to tetrachlorodibenzodioxin in a trichlorophenol process accident. J Occup Med 1980; 22:11-14.

316. Zahm SH, Weisenburger DD, & Babbitt PA: A case-control study of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and the herbicide 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) in eastern Nebraska. Epidemiology 1990; 1:349-356.

317. Zenz C: Occupational Medicine, 3rd ed, Mosby Year Book, Inc, St Louis, MO, 1994. 318. Zober A, Messerer P, & Huber P: Thirty-four year follow-up of BASF employees exposed to 2,3,7,8-TCDD after the 1953 accident. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 1990; 62:138-157.

319. de Mesquita HBB & Doornbos G: Occupational exposure to phenoxy herbicides and chlorophenols and cancer mortality in the Netherlands. Am J Ind Med 1993; 23:289-300.